

Agency	Project	FY2005-06	FY2006-07
Department of Roads	NIS - Procurement/DOR Financials and Procurement Interface	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000

SUMMARY OF REQUEST (Executive Summary from the Proposal)

The NDOR NIS procurement Interface Project is a two way interface between the NDOR Financial Systems and the NIS Procurement application. Transactions must flow both directions as these systems share information.

FUNDING SUMMARY

The Contract for People Soft work is approximately \$150,000
DOR staff expenses will probably exceed \$750,000

PROJECT SCORE

Section	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Mean	Maximum Possible
III: Goals, Objectives, and Projected Outcomes	14	13	12	13.0	15
IV: Project Justification / Business Case	23	10	10	14.3	25
V: Technical Impact	18	15	13	15.3	20
IV: Preliminary Plan for Implementation	10	10	8	9.3	10
VII: Risk Assessment	10	9	6	8.3	10
VIII: Financial Analysis and Budget	10	17	13	13.3	20
TOTAL				74	100

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Section	Strengths	Weaknesses
III: Goals, Objectives, and Projected Outcomes	- Well done, although there seems to be an undercurrent of distrust between DOR and NIS - Specific goals are well identified.	- Does not describe assessments or measures of success
IV: Project Justification / Business Case	- Well done, although there seems to be an undercurrent of distrust between DOR and NIS	- No justification is provided. Is using the NIS system to feed the NDOR cost accounting system a viable alternative? - This section should summarize other potential solutions, including why NIS apparently cannot provide the functionality that DOR requires.
V: Technical Impact	- Interface format is proven and stable, as are the two applications.	- Turf war - does some discussion regarding philosophies need to happen between these agencies? NDOR and NIS team communication improvement?
VI: Preliminary Plan for Implementation	- Hopefully Peoplesoft does deliver as promised! - All areas are addressed - A good project management structure appears to be in place.	- The timeline indicates the project will be complete by March 31, 2005, which is in the current fiscal year. If this is correct, should the project be included in the biennial budget request?
VII: Risk Assessment	- \$150,000 charge by Peoplesoft seems reasonable to risk - PeopleSoft has provided design information to reduce the risk.	- Some discussion about the challenges of keeping data in synch between the two systems would be helpful. - What are the resource requirements for NIS, and has NIS included this project in their schedule?
VIII: Financial Analysis and Budget	- The implementation costs are specified.	- It is likely that ongoing support costs will be incurred as PeopleSoft issues new releases of their software. - Some documentation to support the estimated costs would improve the financial analysis.