NNAG Website Subcommittee
Summary of our meeting
June 16th, 2011

1. Committee members John Stritt, Mike Kvanvig, Charles Osteen were present and met at 10am, June 16th. Tom Rolfes was on vacation.

2. Charles had prepared a brief agenda, copies of the NITC Education Council Marketing Task Group survey results; a new sheet detailing the outcomes of our own NNAG State network survey; copies of sheet of State network memberships alliances, previously forwarded by Tom; and a copy of the full original NNAG State network survey results.

3. These documents gave us a good starting point in which to begin looking at how our current NetNeb website compares with other state networks and what our own NNAG's survey response was.

4. **According to the NNAG survey Missouri, Alabama and Kansas had the highest positive response by those NNAG members who responded to the survey, with Missouri having the single highest response.**

5. NetNeb appears to be right in line with other states with respect to membership alliances. We had some questions about a column titled Libraries and one called Government / Public Safety. We thought that along with Libraries, NetNeb is including museums. We were not sure what Government and Public Safety alliances might have include in those States (less than half) that had indicated that alliance.

6. Healthcare was also an alliance that was not indicated often by other States. We discussed about how in Nebraska the TeleHealth Network is not eagerly engaging in the NetNeb system.

7. **We spend some time looking at Missouri's network website and discussed how it was very user friendly, while in our current NetNeb site, things seemed to be a little more difficult to find.**

8. **We remembered that NetNeb has a website developer we are actually paying for, and it became apparent that it might be very useful for that person to be involved in these discussions. In addition, we were not aware of what work might already have been done to change the current website and there was not no point in moving forward without that knowledge.**

9. John Stritt will find out who the web-developer is, and see if that person can make the next committee meeting.

10. There were discussions among us throughout the meeting about what the committee's role actually was. Was it to help steer layout design, or to review areas for correct content and provide updates to content. I believe we thought that to take on re-developing the content of each category would be overwhelming, and that clearly some of the content areas would need further separate committee work.

11. John will check with the developer and we will try for an IP meeting sometime prior to the next NNAG meeting July 13th.