# NITC 3-205 Street Centerline Standards

# **Review Version 5.0** (Date 12.12.2014)

Category: Data and Information Architecture Applicability: See Each Section of Standards History: Adopted on [Month Day, Year]



Nebraska Information Technology Commission GIS Council

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 St	andard	1
1.1	Description	1
1.2	Spatial Representation	1
1.3	Address Attributes	4
1.4	Data Format	11
1.6	Quality Control	12
1.7	Integration with other Standards	13
1.8	Metadata	13
2.0 Pu	ırpose and Objectives	14
2.1	Purpose	14
2.2	Objectives	14
3.0 De	efinitions	15
4.0 Ap	pplicability	17
4.1	State Government Agencies	17
4.2	State Funded Entities	17
4.3	Other	17
5.0 Re	esponsibility	17
5.1	NITC	17
5.2	State Agencies	17
5.3	Granting Agencies and Entities	17
5.4	Other	17
6.0 Au	uthority	18
6.1	NITC GIS Council	18
7.0 Re	elated Documents	18
8.0 Ap	ppendices	19
0 1	Domains	10

#### 1.0 Standard

#### 1.1 Description

This standard provides requirements necessary for the creation, development, delivery, and maintenance of street centerline data to support a statewide Nebraska Street Centerline Database (NSCD). The database provides spatial location of a seamless road network including information tied to that location with appropriate attribute data. The standard provides a consistent structure for data producers and users to ensure compatibility of datasets within the same framework layer and when used between other Nebraska Spatial Data Infrastructure (NESDI) framework layers such as address points, parcels and administrative/political boundaries.

There are multiple uses for street centerline data. These requirements will enable the data to be integrated not only with Next Generation 9-1-1 (NG9-1-1) but with existing state road network databases, routing services, emergency management, and public safety. Furthermore, this standard will serve as a guideline for future maintenance activity data requirements.

This standard does not restrict or limit additional information collected and stored in a particular database. The specific requirements for street naming and road conditions are primarily the responsibility of the local jurisdiction. These standards are meant to be a minimum set of standards and are subject to be updated based on technology enhancements, necessary workflow changes, and other data requirements.

The standard is not intended to be a substitute for an implementation design. These standards can be used at local, state and federal level to ensure interdisciplinary compatibility and interoperability with other databases. These standards integrate with existing standards such as the US Federal Highways, National Emergency Number Association (NENA), U.S. Postal Service (USPS) Addressing Standard, and other NITC related standards.

#### 1.2 Spatial Representation

#### 1.2.1 Geometric Placement

The methodology for proper geometric placement of street centerlines will vary based on the application. Street centerlines can be placed either manually or by calculated placement. The calculated placement of the street centerline is completed by automated software techniques, typically in CAD or GIS. Calculations or manual placement methods can be made from the physical footprint referenced from imagery, LiDAR or from mapping grade GPS.

Providing an adequate seamless street centerline database to support public safety and emergency response is the primary focus and will need to support NG9-1-1 standards identified by NENA.

#### 1.2.2 Data Development

All data will consist of visual and verifiable street centerline with address ranges and other information corresponding to some level of ground control. The geometric placement of street centerlines can be derived from digitizing and using field GPS data collection.

#### 1.2.2.1 Digitizing

The data source used to digitize or place street centerlines must meet the following minimum requirements.

Capture Scale for digitizing: 1:2400

<u>Projection:</u> Nebraska State Plane Coordinate System Datum: North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83)

<u>Source:</u> Using aerial imagery that meets verified horizontal accuracy requirements for spatial resolution (12 inch minimum), preferably leaf-off. In cases where tree cover or other obstructions are identified in imagery, it will be necessary to conduct field verification of that location with a mapping grade GPS unit. The NAIP imagery therefore does not meet these accuracy standards.

LiDAR can also be used as a guide to support spatial accuracy placement of certain aspects of roads.

Imagery, LiDAR, or other source document that was used to digitize street centerlines that is newly acquired or not made available for public access will need to be provided to entity conducting quality control of the data.

For information regarding standards for imagery and LiDAR requirements for Nebraska, refer to the Elevation Acquisition using LiDAR Standards (NITC 3-203) and Imagery Standards (NITC 3-204).

#### 1.2.2.2 Global Positioning Systems (GPS)

The development of street centerlines can be utilized using field observation and data collection techniques using mapping grade stationary and vehicle equipped GPS. Data collected using a mapping grade GPS will need to meet spatial accuracy requirements in section 1.2.3. Additional post processing of GPS data may be necessary to meet these spatial requirements.

#### 1.2.3 Spatial Accuracy

The minimum positional accuracy standards need to meet the following standard as set forth in the FGDC Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards Part 3, Appendix 3-D (FGDC-STD-007.3-1998).

#### 1.2.3.1 Minimum Horizontal Accuracy Standard

Data that has been collected through digitization or visual representation methods must have an accuracy level of 3.28 to 9.84 feet (1-3 meters) or better.

When using mapping grade GPS, data will need to be collected at 3.28 feet (1 meter) or better. Additional requirements and suggestions for acquiring data by field GPS is located in the NENA GIS Data Collection and Maintenance Standards.

#### 1.2.3.2 Minimum Vertical Accuracy Standard

There are no vertical accuracy requirements at this time.

#### 1.2.4 Feature Type and Tables

#### 1.2.4.1 Lines (Polylines)

A line represents the estimated center of a street or road and is not the legal right of way. Attribute data consists of four address range fields representing low to high on odd and even side of road segments necessary for geocoding. Address range values can be represented as theoretical (potential) or actual address ranges for the line segment and stored in the feature attribute table of the data set.

It is recommended whenever possible to develop actual address ranges. Theoretical address ranges typically start with zero and end with 99 for each street centerline segment. This includes every address between zero and 99 that is contained within each segment. Actual address ranges are defined as the actual ranges that exist along a street. The ranges can start with either a zero or one and end with a number that best represents that range for each street centerline segment. This method is desirable, as it produces greater range accuracies compared to theoretical address ranges. This results in better representation of geocoded addresses in relation to a street centerline. However, this approach is more costly to derive as it requires additional verification at the field to determine the exact range. If potential ranges are used, it is recommended to keep the range to a level appropriate for the segment. For example, consider going from a segment starting at 100 to 150 compared to 100 to 198.

#### 1.2.4.2 Centerline Points

These are points used to create and reference particular information on street centerlines useful for assisting topology, addressing, and routing. These include point features considered as nodes to represent intersections, changes in street names, crossings, bridges, and jurisdictional boundary changes. Corresponding attribute information tied to each point is further defined in Section 1.3.6 Data Schema and Descriptions.

#### 1.2.4.3 Tables

Corresponding tables for representing alternative street names can be further represented in tabular format. See Section 1.3.6 Data Schema and Descriptions for description on information for tables.

#### 1.2.5 Projection and Datum

For data to be made available for NG9-1-1 operations, the data will need to be in a geographic coordinate system and not projected. This is necessary for the Emergency Call Routing Function (ECRF) or the Location Validation Function (LVF) uses for display.

EPSG: 4326 WGS84 / Latlong

Projection: Geographic Coordinates, Plate Carrée, Equidistant Cylindrical,

Equirectangular

Latitude of the origin: 0° Longitude of the origin: 0° Scaling factor: 1 False easting: 0° False northing: 0°
Ellipsoid: WGS84
Horizontal Datum: WGS84

Vertical Datum: WGS84 Geoid Units: decimal degrees Global extent: -180, -90, 180, 90

The NSCD will also be projected and delivered in Nebraska (State) Plane Coordinate System projection and datum for North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83). The plane coordinate values for a point on the earth's surface should be expressed in feet. The data will also be made available as Web Mercator with WGS 1984 horizontal datum for use among other needed web services.

#### 1.3 Address Attributes

#### 1.3.1 General Address Components

There are several components that make up a street address. Many are required to accurately define a specific address and location. When an address is matched against other address database files or for the purpose of generating an address it must be broken down into the individual components separated by a single space between the components. These standards follow the FGDC United State Thoroughfare, Landmark and Postal Address Data standard for address components. The minimum components required to accurately define an address are:

Primary Address Number: 123 Prefix Directional Street: W Street Name: Main Street Type: ST Street Direction: NW Unit Address Identifiers: STE Unit Number: 5 City: Lincoln State: NE Zip Code: 68509

Not all of the elements are required to be filled out for an address to be valid. However, the placeholders need to be present in the attribute table to accurately represent the accepted USPS standards. The USPS uses a parsing logic to enter address information into their appropriate fields. When parsing an address into the individual components, start from the right element of the address and work toward the left. Place each element in the appropriate field until all address components are isolated. This process facilitates matching files and produces the correct format for standardized output as well as isolating the mismatches to the closest possible fit before failing.

Associated attributes pertain to formatting and storing of address data within attribute tables that are external to and associated with feature attribute tables of geospatial datasets. For example, a city's master address database could be associated with and address matched against a city-wide geospatial dataset of points.

Addressing authorities at the local level that maintain address data within their each jurisdiction shall develop a master address database that can be referenced to the NSCD when new street names are being created or assigned so that duplications are avoided. All street names and address numbers shall be kept consistent with geospatial datasets.

#### 1.3.2 Unique Identification Code

A unique identifier is required for the statewide street centerline database. This unique identifier allows the data to be tied or joined to other spatial data sets having the same identifier. The field name for this unique code in NSCD is "NEStreetID."

#### 1.3.3 Directional Prefixes and Suffixes

The street address directional prefixes and suffixes shall always be abbreviated and capitalized, and shall not include periods. For example, North should be abbreviated as N. A complete set of directional prefix and suffix abbreviations are listed in Appendix 8.1.

#### 1.3.4 Street Name

The NENA and FGDC United State Thoroughfare, Landmark and Postal Address Data standards will be followed for numbering streets. Street names will use capital and lower case letters. Street names should not be abbreviated unless it is common practice. For example, Doctor (DR) or Junior (JR) could be abbreviated.

Numeric streets shall be written using numbers rather than spelled out. For example, using "1<sup>ST</sup>" rather than "FIRST". The numeric street names should use "TH", "RD", "ST" or "ND" characters as part of the street name.

Vanity street names and numbers shall not be used as the primary street name or address range component.

For classifying new street names, a standard method of assigning numeric and character street names shall be developed and adopted for a jurisdiction. The primary objective is to establish a grid within each jurisdiction regardless of the detailed pattern of the individual grid. Streets that run primarily east and west would use a numeric street name grid, while those that run primarily north and south would be based on names from a master street name grid, or vice versa. The spacing of numeric street names should be based on a standard increment. A numeric street name should not be used outside of its proper location and sequence as established by the grid. The spacing of character streets should be based on a similar pattern. A character street name that is part of the grid should not be used outside of its proper location and sequence as established by the grid.

For public safety jurisdictions who maintain a Master Street Address Guides (MSAG), Automatic Location Information (ALI), and other local addressing standards are encouraged to update their databases to these standards. The NG9-1-1 requirements, as defined by NENA, define data layers and attributes to be the same throughout each of these databases since they will need to be standardized anyway in a statewide model.

#### 1.3.5 Street Type

Street type is signified by Street (ST), Boulevard (BLVD), Court (CT), and Road (RD) to give you an example. A complete set of street type domains are listed in Appendix 8.1. Each street address will have only one street type based on a logical pattern of street types. The street type names used follow USPS Postal Addressing Standards Publication 28 and other standards through the NENA Civic Location Data Exchange Format (CLDXF). An exception to this rule would be where two streets in the same area have the same name (e.g., Destination Dr and Destination Ct).

#### 1.3.6 Odd/Even Numbering (Address Parity)

Parity shall remain consistent within the system adopted by the local jurisdiction. Address ranges are sets of numbers, usually comprised of four (4) distinct values, representing a range of addresses along the sides of the street centerlines by addresses at either end of a street centerline segment. Two numbers of the range represent the lowest addresses, and the other two represent the highest. The numbers are further distinguished as being on either the left or the right side of the segment. In topological terms, the lower numbers are associates with the FROM node of the segment, while the high numbers are associated with the TO node. Likewise, left and right are determined by the direction of the segment, as defined by the FROM and TO nodes. Topology is critical when a set of addressed centerlines are developed. Implementation of the address parity (e.g., odd versus even) is usually determined by the addressing software.

#### 1.3.7 Sequential Direction

Address ranges shall increase as you travel in the direction adopted by the jurisdiction. The direction of each line segment shall follow the sequence direction of the address ranges. Typically this is accomplished by controlling from-node and to-node topology. One-way streets are NOT an exception to this rule. Curvilinear streets may violate this standard for short stretches provided that they are in compliance with respect to the general direction of the full street segment. Where compliance with this standard is difficult or impossible, it may warrant considering a change in the street name at the point where it changes direction.

#### 1.3.8 Consistency with Distance-Based Address Grid

Depending on the preference of the jurisdiction there must be a defined standard interval based grid system. Whether it is hundred blocks as in a city, a potential 1000 addresses per mile, (a possible address every 5.28 feet), or another variation the jurisdictions accepted standards should be adhered to as close as possible. In rural areas addresses can be assigned based on the distance south or west from the nearest section line. This standard is particularly useful in areas that are largely undeveloped (and thus don't have many cross streets) or in areas that have existing streets that are not in the standard street name grid. This standard should generally be considered to be less important, however, than staying consistent with the address designations of cross streets.

#### 1.3.9 Use of Characters

Street addresses shall not contain characters such as hyphens, dashes, +, #, & or other non-alpha-characters or symbols. An alpha-character added to the address as a subnumber is preferable to a fraction (e.g., 123 A is preferable to 123 1/2).

#### 1.3.10 Data Schema and Descriptions

The following are feature layers necessary for a comprehensive street centerline database. The data schema and descriptions table is provided for each of the features. Each table provides the minimum requirements for each feature type.

Feature	Туре	Description
Street Centerlines	Line Layer	Contains street centerline segments
Alternate Street Names	Table/Value	Contains alternate street names
Centerline Points	Point Layer	Point locations used to create road centerlines and assisting with topology, addressing, and routing.

# **Street Centerlines**

The minimum required fields for these standards are represented by the following identifiers: " $\mathbf{R}$ " – required, " $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{C}$ " –Recommended, and " $\mathbf{O}$ " – Optional.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Field Description	Domain Name	Required Level
NEStreetID	Number	20	Unique ID of corresponding street centerline segment	N/A	R
FullStreet	String	150	Unique ID of corresponding street centerline segment	N/A	R
PreModifier	String	15	Prefix directional component of segment name	PreModifier	R
PreDirectional	String	2	A street direction that precedes the street name (i.e., N, S, E, W, NE, NW, SE, SW)	Direction	R
PreType	String	20	A street type that precedes the street name (i.e., AVE, RD, ST, CIR, PL, PKWY, LN, DR, BLVD, ALY)	StreetType	R
StreetName	String	30	Legal authoritative street name component of segment name	N/A	R
PostType	String	4	A street type that follows the street name (i.e., AVE, RD, ST, CIR, PL, PKWY, LN, DR, BLVD, ALY)	StreetType	R
PostDirectional	String	2	A street direction that follows the street name (i.e., N, S, E, W, NE, NW, SE, SW)	Direction	R
PostModifier	String	12	A descriptor that follows the street name and is not a suffix or a direction (i.e., Access, Central, Crossover, Scenic, Terminal, Underpass)	PostModifier	R
LFrom	Number	6	Left low address range	N/A	R
LTo	Number	6	Left high address range	N/A	R
RFrom	Number	6	Right low address range	N/A	R
RTo	Number	6	Right high address range	N/A	R
ParityLeft	String	1	Parity of address range on the left side of the road. E, O, B, Z for even, Odd, Both or Zero.	N/A	R
ParityRight	String	1	Parity of address range on the right side of the road. E, O, B, Z for	N/A	R

			even, Odd, Both or Zero.		
LCityPostal	String	7	5-digit postal code on the left side of the road segment.	N/A	R
RCityPostal	String	7	5-digit postal code on the right side of the road segment.	N/A	R
FIPS_LCity	String	5	City FIPS code of left side of segment	N/A	R
FIPS_RCity	String	5	City FIPS code of right side of segment	N/A	R
FIPS_LCOUNTY	String	3	County FIPS code of left side of segment	CountyFIPS	R
FIPS_RCOUNTY	String	3	County FIPS code of right side of segment	CountyFIPS	R
FIPS_LSTATE	String	2	State FIPS code for left side of segment	StateFIPS	R
FIPS_RSTATE	String	2	State FIPS code for right side of segment	StateFIPS	R
ESNLeft <sup>**</sup>	String	5	Emergency Service Number on left side of road segment	N/A	R
ESNRight**	String	5	Emergency Service Number on right side of road segment	N/A	R
ESNCenter**	String	5	Responsible ESN responder at centerline	N/A	0
MSAGLeft**	String	30	MSAG on left side of road segment	N/A	R
MSAGRight <sup>**</sup>	String	30	MSAG on right side of road segment	N/A	R
ZCoordS	String	Number	Elevation at the start of the segment node	N/A	R
ZCoordE	String	Number	Elevation at the end of the segment node	N/A	R
OneWay	String	2	Signifies if the segment is one way in direction	OneWay	0
Travel	String	20	Direction of travel for divided roadways	N/A	0
RoadClass	String	15	This is the classification for the road segment as adopted from the MAF/TIGER Feature Classification Codes (MTFCC) Attachment D	RClass	0
SurfType	String	10	This is the surface type of the segment	SType	0
StreetOwner	String	25	Current local entity responsible for creation of physical street segment	N/A	R
StreetMaint	String	25	Current local entity responsible for maintenance of street segment data	N/A	R

Create_DT	Date	26	Date/time stamp when data was first created	N/A	R
Update_DT	Date	26	Date/time stamp when data segment geometry/attribution last modified	N/A	R
UpdateBy	String	50	Person who made the last update to the record	N/A	R
SourceOfData	String	30	Entity that provided the data	N/A	R
Street_Status_CD	String	1	Status code indicating operational condition of street (1=open, 2=retired, 3=temporarily closed, 4=under construction)	StreetStatus	R
ActiveDT	Date	26	Date when the segment is activated or becomes available for use.	N/A	R
UActiveDate	Date	26	Date when the segment becomes unactive or not available for use.	N/A	RC
Interstate_Num	Number	2	Interstate Highway number of road segment, if appropriate	N/A	RC
US_Hwy_Num	Number	2	US Highway number of road segment, if appropriate	N/A	RC
State_Hwy_Num	Number	2	State Highway number of road segment, if appropriate	N/A	RC
Local_Rd_Num	Number	2	Local road number of road segment, if appropriate	N/A	RC
Alias1*	String	50	Alias name of road segment	N/A	RC
LZIP	String	10	Area descriptor to aid in geocoding, left side of centerline	N/A	R
RZIP	String	10	Area descriptor to aid in geocoding, right side of centerline	N/A	R
LOCAL_FUNC_CLASS	String	2	Functional Class assigned by road owner with possible suggestions guidelines for possible local classification schema	N/A	RC
STATE_FUNC_CLASS	String	2	Functional Class with classification schema define by standards TWG	N/A	RC
LRS_ID	String	20	ID associated to the road segment found in the NDOR Linear Referencing System	N/A	R
Length	Number	12	Calculated length in US Survey Feet	N/A	R

SpeedLimit N	Number	3	The speed limit of the road segment in miles per hour (mph)	N/A	R	
--------------	--------	---	---	-----	---	--

# **Alternate Street Names**

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Field Description	Domain Name	Required Level
NEStreetID	Number	20	Unique ID of corresponding street centerline segment	N/A	R
AltStreetID	Number	100	Unique ID of alternate street segment name	N/A	R
PreModifier	Alpha	15	Alternate street prefix type	PreModifier	R
AltStreetName	Alpha	30	Alternate street name. Example: Main, 2nd, Country Creek, Third	N/A	R
PostType	String	4	A street type that follows the street name (i.e., AVE, RD, ST, CIR, PL, PKWY, LN, DR, BLVD, ALY)	StreetType	R
PostDirectional	Alpha	2	Alternate street directional suffice. Example: N, S, E, W, NW, NE, SW, and SE	Direction	R
PostModifier	String	12	A descriptor that follows the alternate street name and is not a suffix or a direction (i.e., Access, Central, Crossover, Scenic, Terminal, Underpass)	PostModifier	R
ASN	Alpha	75	Concatenated Alternate Street Name (STR_PRE+STR_NA ME+STR_TYPE+ST R_DIR)	N/A	0
SourceOfData	String	75	Entity that provided the data	N/A	R
ActiveDT	Date	26	Date when the alternate street name is activated or becomes available for use.	N/A	R
UActiveDate	Date	26	Date when the alternate street name becomes unactive or not available for use.	N/A	RC

<sup>\*</sup> Can have multiple Alias numbers relationship table to infinite number.

\*\* Not required in full NG9-1-1 implementation, used in legacy systems.

#### **Centerline Points**

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Field Description	Domain Name	Required Level
Unique_ID	Number	9	Framework unique sequential identifier (generated by Framework data steward)	N/A	0
СРТуре	String	20	Type of point or node (intersection, bridge, railroad crossing, low water crossing, under pass, over pass, change of lane, change of street name in linear path)	N/A	0
X_COORD	Number	15	Points X coordinate	N/A	0
Y_COORD	Number	15	Points Y coordinate	N/A	0
Z_COORD	Number	6	Points Z elevation coordinate in feet	N/A	0
Agree_PT_IND	String	7	Indicator if point is or is not an agreement point.	AgreePoint	0
Create_DT	Date	26	Date/time stamp when that point geometry/attribution was first created	N/A	0
Update_DT	Date	26	Date/time stamp when geometry/attribution last modified	N/A	0
UpdateBy	String	50	Person who made the last update to the record	N/A	0
Status_CD	String	1	Code indicating operational condition of road segment point	N/A	0
Local_ID	Number	9	Local road centerline segment feature identifier, unique and permanent to the segment at the local level (generated by road authority/data custodian)	N/A	0
SourceOfData	String	75	Entity that provided the data	N/A	0

#### 1.4 Data Format

The data format provided will need to be in a format that can be interpreted by commercial GIS software, preferably as an Esri geodatabase. A geodatabase schema including domains can be provided by contacting the State of Nebraska, Office of the CIO GIS Shared Services. Street centerline data stored on NebraskaMAP will be in an Esri geodatabase format but provided through various formats for other users to consume.

Other supporting tabular data will need to be provided in MS ACCESS, DBF, or MS SQL formats.

#### 1.5 Maintenance

Authorities need to be identified for approval and assuring the data is implemented towards the database. This will ensure that the database is updated and maintained in a timely manner. After spatial and attribute updates and/or modifications are performed to the database it shall be submitted to the appropriate entity(s) responsible for performing quality control.

Maintenance of street centerline data determines the suitability to support the greatest range of applications. Spatial location of a seamless road network, including appropriate attribute data, is

essential for many projects. Therefore, maintenance of this data is necessary to provide the maximum return on investment.

#### 1.5.1 Reporting Errors and Handling Updates

The reporting of errors need to be directed to the appropriate entity in a timely manner. Updated spatial and attribute information in the database will also need to be redistributed. The date field in the database when the last record was modified will also need to be updated to ensure proper records management and communication with others in the workflow.

#### 1.6 Quality Control

The quality of the NSCD is evaluated based on the overall functional correctness and completeness of the attribute and spatial data. The FGDC and NENA have adopted nationally recognized standards for accuracy testing of GIS data. NENA recommends that street centerline address data for use in data exchanges associated with NG-911 call processing be based on the FGDC compliant database. Refer to the FGDC United State Thoroughfare, Landmark and Postal Address Data standard and the NENA Civic Location Data Exchange Format (CLDXF) Standard for these data exchange standards.

#### 1.6.1 Attribute Accuracy

- Attribute fields are complete compared to source data having valid data elements, domain or range values.
- b) Correct spelling in comparison of source data.
- c) Standard first letter capitalized of every word and USPS capitalization of the State abbreviation.
- d) Not to contain duplicate road segments, each road segment should be uniquely identifiable by the attributes.
- e) Assure that the address range and information on the left or right of the street centerline are consistently either odd or even addresses.
- f) For NG9-1-1 applications, the address ranges need to qualify and meet certain thresholds for the MSAG and ALI databases. For MSAG and ALI databases, the address for each point will need to be valid at a rate of 98 percent or better. For areas without an MSAG, the addresses will meet USPS Publication 28 standards. For the ALI database, this is determined by geocoding the addresses in the ALI database to the road layer with addresses developed for that area. Overall, the address data is consistent with source information from MSAG and ALI.
- g) The correct formatting of street centerline attributes are used in these standards and are also included in the NENA standards and abbreviations as they are found in USPS Publication 28.
- h) The temporal quality is met by being current through updating appropriate attributes and indicating the time the changes were made in the date updated field. Street centerlines that change due to add-on's from new construction or changes to the existing road structures will need to be updated frequently.
- i) Quality checks for allowable domain values, summary statistics and record counts.

#### 1.6.2 Physical Location

The quality of the physical location will be evaluated based on:

a) The placement of the street centerline representing it's real location and if it meets horizontal accuracy requirements. The National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) outlines a methodology for measuring positional accuracy. If additional testing is required, the NSSDA procedures outline the statistical procedures.

- b) The geometric placement of the street centerline is consistently logical to the context of other features such as parcels and administrative/political boundaries.
- 1.6.3 Connectivity Validation (99% acceptance required with 1 foot tolerance)
  - a) Undershoots Condition when the end of a linear geometry falls short of intersecting with another linear geometry
  - b) Overshoots Condition when the end of a linear geometry extends beyond the point at which it should intersect and stop at another linear geometry
  - c) Node Mismatch Condition when the end of a linear geometry falls short of intersecting with the end of another linear geometry
  - d) Non-coincident Intersecting Geometry Condition when features intersect one another without creating corresponding vertices at the intersecting points
  - e) Nearly Coincident Geometry Condition when a vertex of one geometry falls within the tolerance of a vertex of another geometry
- 1.6.4 Linear Referencing System (LRS) Validation (99% acceptance required)
  - Missing LRS Keys Condition when records are missing required LRS keys: NLF\_ID, Begin measure and/or End Measure
  - b) Begin Distance >= End Distance Condition when begin distance measure greater than or equal to end distance measure
  - c) Overlapping Distances Condition when records have the same NLF\_ID and that contain overlapping distances between the end measure of one record and the begin measure of another record
  - Linear Measure/Geometry Ratio Condition when the user-defined linear measure (end distance minus begin distance) compared to the measured map distance for each records exceeds specified tolerance (90-120 percent)
  - e) Geometry sequence/direction problems Condition when the digitized direction of geometry is not consistent with direction of increasing measures.
  - f) Gaps between geometries Condition when gaps exist between geometry of records with the same NLF ID exceed specified tolerance (10 ft.).

#### 1.7 Integration with other Standards

#### 1.7.1 Address Standards (NITC 3-206)

The street centerline and address elements identified in these standards shall meet the same address related field names found in the Address Standards NITC 3-206. This is to assure the connection of street addresses and routing to address points having the same address information.

#### 1.8 Metadata

A requirement for street centerline and address range data is creating and maintaining its metadata. The metadata for street centerline data will require detailing the characteristics and quality of submitted street centerline data. Information needs to be provided to allow the user sufficient information so they can determine the data's intended purpose as well as how to access the data. The metadata requires a process description summarizing collection parameters such as: contact information, data source, scale, accuracy, projection, use restrictions, and date associated to each street centerline segment. The process description will also need to be included to describe methodology towards the deliverable products.

#### 1.8.1 Federal Metadata

The Federal Metadata Content Standard from FGDC should be used when feasible and

in every effort possible to assure high quality rigorous standards. All geospatial street centerline geodatabases, and their associated attribute databases should be documented with FGDC compliant metadata outlining how the data was derived, attribute field definitions and values, map projections, appropriate map scale, contact information, access and use restrictions, to name a few.

#### 1.8.2 State Metadata

These standards need to apply to Nebraska's metadata standards located within NITC 3-201 Geospatial Metadata Standard. All metadata from street centerline data will need to be registered through the metadata portal at NebraskaMAP (<a href="http://NebraskaMAP.gov">http://NebraskaMAP.gov</a>). All developers of Nebraska-related geospatial data are encouraged to use the site to either upload existing metadata and/or use the online tools available on the site to create the metadata for street centerline data.

#### 2.0 Purpose and Objectives

#### 2.1 Purpose

The purpose of this standard is to provide the necessary requirements for the creation, development, delivery, and maintenance of street centerline and address range data to support a statewide NSCD. These standards will help ensure that street centerline and address range data creation and development are current, consistent, accurate, publicly accessible, and cost-effective.

#### 2.2 Objectives

These standards will guide the statewide NSCD having the following objectives:

- 2.2.1 Provide guidance, street centerline schema, and necessary workflows to state and local officials as they work, either in-house or with private contractors, to create, develop and maintain street centerline and address range data. This can increase the likelihood that the data created will be suitable for the range of intended applications and likely future applications. The maintenance of street centerline and address range data is necessary for the data to be current and accurate.
- 2.2.2 Enhance coordination and program management across jurisdictional boundaries by insuring that street centerline and address range data can be horizontally integrated across jurisdictional and/or project boundaries, and other framework data layers for regional or statewide applications.
- 2.2.3 Save public resources by facilitating the sharing of street centerline and address range data among public agencies or sub-divisions of agencies by incorporating data standards and following guidelines. Data that is developed by one entity can be done in a way that is suitable to serve the multiple needs of other entities. This avoids the costly duplication of developing and maintaining similar street centerline and address range data in the state.
- 2.2.4 Make street centerline and address range data current and readily accessible to the wide range of potential users through NebraskaMAP and other necessary resources.
- 2.2.5 Facilitate harmonious, trans-agency and public policy decision-making and implementation by enabling multiple agencies and levels of government to access and appropriately use current street centerline and address range data. This can make it more likely that intersecting public policy decisions, across levels of government, will be

based on the same information.

- 2.2.6 Lay the foundation for facilitating intergovernmental partnerships for the acquisition and development of high-quality street centerline and address range data by defining standards that increase the likelihood that this data will meet the needs of multiple users.
- 2.2.7 Establish and promote the integration and interrelationships of street centerline and address range data with related NESDI framework layers through geometric placement and attributes.

#### 3.0 Definitions

#### Accuracy

Absolute - A measure of the location of features on a map compared to their true position on the face of the earth.

Relative - A measure of the accuracy of individual features on a map when compared to other features on the same map.

#### Address

Actual or Real - The simple, everyday element that designates a specific, situs location, such as a house number or an office suite.

Range - Numbers associated with segments of a digital street centerline file that represent the actual high and low addresses at either end of each segment.

Theoretical - A location that can be interpolated along a street centerline file through geocoding software.

Vanity - A special address that is inconsistent with or an exception to the standard addressing schema.

Address matching - See Geocoding

Automatic Location Identification (ALI) - The automatic display at the PSAP of the caller's phone number, the address/location of the telephone and supplementary emergency services information of the location from which a call originates.

Attribute - Attributes are the properties and characteristics of entities.

Data Stewardship – Entity(s) responsible for developing and maintaining the data.

Datum – A set of values used to define a specific geodetic system.

Emergency Call Routing Function (ECRF) - A functional element in an ESInet which is a LoST protocol server where location information (either civic address or geo-coordinates) and a Service URN serve as input to a mapping function that returns a URI used to route an emergency call toward the appropriate PSAP for the caller's location or towards a responder agency.

Entity - A data entity is any object about which an organization chooses to collect data.

Geocoding – A mechanism for building a database relationship between addresses and geospatial features. When an address is matched to the geospatial features, geographic coordinates are assigned to the address.

- Line A linear feature built of straight line segments made up of two or more coordinates.
- Location Validation Function (LVF) A real time database that allows authorized service providers to validate a subscriber's location in real time using a pre-defined interface.
- Master Street Address Guide (MSAG) A listing of streets and house number hich describes the exact spelling of streets, street number ranges, and other address elements.
- National Emergency Number Association (NENA) A professional association consisting of emergency number agencies and telephone company personnel responsible for the planning, implementation, establishing national standards, management, and administration of emergency number systems.
- Nebraska Spatial Data Infrastructure (NESDI) A framework of geospatial data layers that have multiple applications, used by a vast majority of stakeholders, meet quality standards and have data stewards to maintain and improve the data on an ongoing basis.

  These layers are also consistent with the Federal National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI).
- Point A geospatial feature that is stored as a single X-Y coordinate pair. Some data systems store X-Y-Z coordinates, where Z represents elevation of the point above a given surface (or datum).
- Projection A map projection flattens the earth, allowing for locations to by systematically assigned new positions so that a curved surface can be represented on a flat map
- Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) An entity operating under common management which receives 9-1-1 calls from a defined geographic area and processes those calls according to a specific operational policy.
- Road Generally, this is the physical real-world feature that can be used for vehicular travel. However, this general definition is subject to the road owner's authority to define its accessibility (thus, while navigable by a vehicle, some linear features may be "trails" and thus excluded from the ORCDS). The federal definition used by ODOT for their purposes is appended below.
- State Plane Coordinate System The State Plane Coordinate System is a set of 124 geographic zones or coordinate systems designed for specific regions of the United States. It uses a simple Cartesian coordinate system to specify locations rather than a more complex spherical coordinate system (the geographic coordinate system of latitude and longitude). By thus ignoring the curvature of the Earth, "plane surveying" methods can be used, speeding up and simplifying calculations. The system is highly accurate within each zone (error less than 1:10,000). Outside a specific state plane zone, accuracy rapidly declines, thus the system is not useful for regional or national mapping
- Topology Spatial relationships and connectivity among graphic GIS features, such as points, lines and polygons. These relationships allow display and analysis of "intelligent" data in GIS. Many topological structures incorporate begin and end relationships, direction and right / left identification
- Unique Identification Code Every element is assigned an identification code, making it unique from other elements.

USGS United States Geological Survey - is a scientific agency of the United States government.

The scientists of the USGS study the landscape of the United States and its natural resources.

#### 4.0 Applicability

#### 4.1 State Government Agencies

State agencies that have the primary responsibility for developing and maintaining street centerline and address range data for a particular jurisdiction(s) or geographic area (e.g. for counties for which it has assumed the primary role) are required to comply with the standards as described in Section 1. Those state agencies with oversight responsibilities in this area are required to ensure that their oversight guidelines, rules, and regulations are consistent with these standards.

#### 4.2 State Funded Entities

Entities that are not State agencies but receive State funding, directly or indirectly, for street centerline, street naming, and address range development and maintenance for a particular jurisdiction or geographic area are required to comply with the standards as described in Section 1.

#### 4.3 Other

Other entities, such as city and local government agencies (e.g. County Engineer, PSAPs, and municipalities) that receive state funds have the primary responsibility for developing and maintaining street centerline, street naming, and address range data are required to comply with the standards as described in Section 1.

#### 5.0 Responsibility

#### 5.1 NITC

The NITC shall be responsible for adopting minimum technical standards, guidelines, and architectures upon recommendation by the technical panel. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 86-516(6)

#### 5.2 State Agencies

The State of Nebraska, Office of the CIO (OCIO) GIS Shared Services will be responsible for assuring that metadata is completed and the data is registered and available for distribution through NebraskaMAP.

#### 5.3 Granting Agencies and Entities

State granting or fund disbursement entities or agencies will be responsible for ensuring that these standards are included in requirements related to fund disbursements as they relate to street centerlines and address range data.

#### 5.4 Other

Local government agencies that have the primary responsibility and authority for street naming and street centerline placement will be responsible for ensuring that those sub-sections defined in Section 1 will be incorporated in the overall NSCD data development efforts and contracts.

#### 6.0 Authority

#### 6.1 NITC GIS Council

According to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 86-572(2), the GIS Council shall: Establish guidelines and policies for statewide Geographic Information Systems operations and management (a) The acquisition, development, maintenance, quality assurance such as standards, access, ownership, cost recovery, and priorities of data bases; (b) The compatibility, acquisition, and communications of hardware and software; (c) The assessment of needs, identification of scope, setting of standards, and determination of an appropriate enforcement mechanism; (d) The fostering of training programs and promoting education and information about the Geographic Information Systems; and (e) The promoting of the Geographic Information Systems development in the State of Nebraska and providing or coordinating additional support to address Geographic Information Systems issues as such issues arise.

#### 7.0 Related Documents

- 7.1 NENA."NENA Next Generation 9-1-1 (NG9-1-1) Civic Location Data Exchange Format (CLDXF) Standard." NENA-STA-004. March 23, 2014. NENA Joint Data Technical/Next Generation Integration Committees, Next Generation Data Development Working Group.
- 7.2 National Emergency Number Association. "NENA Standard for NG9-1-1 GIS Data Model." NENA-STA-XXX (Currently in Development),
- 7.3 NENA GIS Data Collection and Maintenance Standards, NENA 02-014, July 17, 2007
- 7.4 NENA Information Document for Synchronizing Geographic Information System databases with MSAG & ALI, NENA 71-501, Version 1.1, September 8, 2009
- 7.5 Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) United States Thoroughfare, Landmark and Postal Address Data Standard. FGDC Document Number FGDC-STD-016-2011. February 2011.
- 7.6 NITC 3-201 Geospatial Metadata Standard http://nitc.ne.gov/standards/3-201.html
- 7.7 NITC 3-206 Address Standards (Waiting Review and Approval)
- 7.8 United States Postal Service Publication 28. "Postal Addressing Standards."
- 7.9 FGDC Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards Part 3, Appendix 3-D (FGDC-STD-007.3-1998)
- 7.10 NITC 3-203 Elevation Acquisition using LiDAR Standards
- 7.11 NITC 3-204 Imagery Standards

# 8.0 Appendices

#### 8.1 Domains

Domains are provided for street centerline, alternate street names, and centerline points. This information provides consistency in reporting of data across multiple data sets.

#### SuffixAddressNumber

Domain	Description
Α	A
С	B C D
D	D
Е	Е
F	F
G	G
Н	Н
1	I
J	J
K	K
L	L
М	М
N O	N O
0	0
Р	Р
Q R S	Q R S
R	R
S	S
Т	T U
U	U
V	V
T U V W X Y Z	V W X Y
Х	X
Υ	Υ
Z	Z

#### **PreModifier**

Domain	Description
Alternate	Alternate
Archway	Archway
Behind	Behind
Business	Business
Bypass	Bypass
Center	Center
De	De
Del	Del
Drive	Drive
Entrance	Entrance
Extended	Extended
Head	Head
Historic	Historic
La	La
Le	Le
Loop	Loop
New	New
Old	Old
Olde	Olde
Our	Our
Out	Out
Private	Private
Public	Public
Spur	Spur
The	The
То	То

#### Direction

Domain	Description
N	North
S	South
E	East
W	West
NE	Northeast
NW	Northwest
SE	Southeast
SW	Southwest

SeperatorElement

Domain	Description
And	And
At	At
By The	By The
Con	Con
De Las	De Las
For	For
For The	For The
In The	In The
Of	Of
Of The	Of The
On The	On The
The	The
То	То
Υ	Υ

#### **PostModifier**

PostModifier		
Domain	Description	
Access	Access	
Alternate	Alternate	
Approach	Approach	
Business	Business	
Bypass	Bypass	
Center	Center	
Central	Central	
Centre	Centre	
Company	Company	
Concourse	Concourse	
Connector	Connector	
Crossing	Crossing	
Crossover	Crossover	
Cut Off	Cut Off	
Cutoff	Cutoff	
Dock	Dock	
End	End	
Entrance	Entrance	
Executive	Executive	
Exit	Exit	
Extended	Extended	
Extension	Extension	
Industrial	Industrial	
Interior	Interior	
Loop	Loop	
Overpass	Overpass	
Private	Private	
Public	Public	
Ramp	Ramp	
Scenic	Scenic	
Service	Service	
Spur	Spur	
Terminal	Terminal	
Transverse	Transverse	
Underpass	Underpass	

# State

Domain	Description
NE	Nebraska
СО	Colorado
WY	Wyoming
SD	South Dakota
IA	Iowa
МО	Missouri
KS	Kansas

#### **StateFIPS**

Domain	Description
31	Nebraska
08	Colorado
56	Wyoming
46	South Dakota
19	Iowa
28	Missouri
20	Kansas

#### **StreetSource**

Domain	Description
PSC	Public Service
	Commission
	street
	centerlines
CountySC	County street
	centerlines
MunicipalSC	Municipal
	street
	centerlines
StateSC	State street
	centerlines
Other	Other

#### **StreetStatus**

Olicciolatus	
Domain	Description
1	Open
2	Retired
3	Temporarily
Ü	closed
1	
4	Under
	Construction

**StreetType** (for both PreType and PostType) Additional commonly used street suffixes and abbreviations are located within the USPS Publication 28.

Domain	Description
Acrs	Acres
Aly	Alley
Anx	Annex
Arc	Arcade
Ave	Avenue
Bay	Bay
Bch	Beach
Bg	Burg
Bgs	Burgs
Blf	Bluff
Blfs	Bluffs
Blvd	Boulevard
Bnd	Bend
Br	Branch
Brg	Bridge
Brk	Brook
Brks	Brooks
Btm	Bottom
Вур	Bypass
Byu	Bayou
Chas	Chase
Cir	Circle
Cirs	Circles
Clb	Club
Clf	Cliff
Clfs	Cliffs
Clos	Close
Cmn	Common
Cmns	Commons
Cnrs	Corners
Cor	Corner
Cors	Corners
County	County Dood
Hwy	County Road County Touring
County Rte	Route
Ср	Camp
Сре	Cape

StreetType,	StreetType, continued		
Cres	Crescent		
Crk	Creek		
Crse	Course		
Crst	Crest		
Cswy	Causeway		
Ct	Court		
Ctr	Center		
Ctrs	Centers		
Cts	Courts		
Curv	Curve		
Cv	Cove		
Cvs	Coves		
Cyn	Canyon		
DI	Dale		
Dm	Dam		
Dr	Drive		
Drs	Drives		
Drwy	Driveway		
Dv	Divide		
End	End		
Est	Estate		
Ests	Estates		
Ехру	Expressway		
Ext	Extension		
Exts	Extensions		
Fall	Fall		
Farm	Farm		
Fld	Field		
Flds	Fields		
Fls	Falls		
Flt	Flat		
Flts	Flats		
Frd	Ford		
Frds	Fords		
Frg	Forge		
Frgs	Forges		
Frk	Fork		
Frks	Forks		
Frst	Forest		
Fry	Ferry		

Ft	Fort
Fwy	Freeway
Gate	Gate
Gdn	Garden
Gdns	Gardens
Gln	Glen
Glns	Glens
Grds	Grounds
Grn	Green
Grns	Greens
Grv	Grove
Grvs	Groves
Gtwy	Gateway
Hbr	Harbor
Hbrs	Harbors
HI	Hill
Hls	Hills
Holw	Hollow
Hrbr	Harbor
Hts	Heights
Hvn	Haven
Hwy	Highway
1	Interstate
Init	Inlet
Is	Island
Isle	Isle
Iss	Islands
Jct	Junction
Jcts	Junctions
Knl	Knoll
Knls	Knolls
Ку	Key
Kys	Keys
Land	Land
Lck	Lock
Lcks	Locks
Ldg	Lodge
Lf	Loaf
Lgt	Light
Lgts	Lights
Lk	Lake

Lks	Lakes
Ln	Lane
Lndg	Landing
Loop	Loop
Mall	Mall
Mdw	Meadow
Mdws	Meadows
Mews	Mews
MI	Mill
Mls	Mills
Mnr	Manor
Mnrs	Manors
Msn	Mission
Mt	Mount
Mtn	Mountain
Mtns	Mountains
Mtwy	Motorway
Nck	Neck
Opas	Overpass
Orch	Orchard
Otlk	Outlook
Oval	Oval
Ovlk	Overlook
Park	Park
Pass	Pass
Path	Path
Pike	Pike
Pkwy	Parkway
PI	Place
Pln	Plain
Plns	Plains
Plz	Plaza
Pne	Pine
Pnes	Pines
Pr	Prairie
Prom	Promenade
Prt	Port
Prts	Ports
Psge	Passage
Pt	Point
Pts	Points

StreetType, continued		
Radl	Radial	
Ramp	Ramp	
Rd	Road	
Rdg	Ridge	
Rdgs	Ridges	
Rds	Roads	
Rdwy	Roadway	
Rise	Rise	
Riv	River	
Rnch	Ranch	
Row	Row	
Rpd	Rapid	
Rpds	Rapids	
Rst	Rest	
Rte	Route	
Rue	Rue	
Run	Run	
Shls	Shoals	
Sho	Shoal	
Shr	Shore	
Shrs	Shores	
Skwy	Skyway	
Smt	Summit	
Spg	Spring	
Spgs	Springs	
Spur	Spur	
Sq	Square	
Sqs	Squares	
St	Street	
Sta	Station	
	State Touring	
State Hwy	Highway	
State Pkwy	State Parkway	
State Rte	State Route	
Stra	Stravenue	
Strm	Stream	
Sts	Streets	
Ter	Terrace	
Tlpk	Trailer Park	
Tpke	Turnpike	
Trak	Track	

Trce Trace Trfy Trafficway TrkTrl Truck Trail Trl Trail Trl Trailer Trwy Thruway Tunl Tunnel Turn Turn Twrs Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vly Valley Vly Valley Vww View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xrds Crossroads Xrds Crossroads Trafficway Truck Trail Trail Trail Trail Truck Trail Truch Trail Trail Traile Trail Truch Traile Trail Trun Traile Trail Traile Trail Traile Traile Trail Trun Tunn Tunn Tunn Tunn Tunn Tunn Tunn		1
TrkTrl Truck Trail Trl Trail Trl Trailer Trwy Thruway Tunl Tunnel Turn Turn Twrs Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Trce	Trace
Trl Traile Trlr Trailer Trwy Thruway Tunl Tunnel Turn Turn Twrs Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vly Valley Vly Valley Vw View Vws Views Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Trfy	Trafficway
Trlr Trailer Trwy Thruway Tunl Tunnel Turn Turn Twrs Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vly Valley Vly Valley Vws View Vws Views Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	TrkTrl	Truck Trail
Trwy Thruway Tunl Tunnel Turn Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vly Valley Vly Valley Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Trl	Trail
Turn Turn Twrs Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Trlr	Trailer
Turn Turn Twrs Towers Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Will Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Unions Unions I Turn Turn Turn Turn Turn Turn Towers I Towers Valey Valleyas Valey Valey Valeys Views Views Valleys Valleys Views Voods Voods Voods Vood Vood Vood Vood	Trwy	Thruway
Twrs Towers  Un Union  Uns Unions  Upass Underpass  Federal Highway  US Rte US Route  Vale Via Viaduct  Vis Vista  VI Ville  Vlg Village  Vlgs Villages  Vly Valley  Vlys Valleys  Vw View  Vws Views  Walk Walk  Wall Wall  Way Way  Ways  Wds Woods  Wels Wood  Xing Crossing  Xrd Crossroad	Tunl	Tunnel
Un Union Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Windows Wood Xing Crossing Xrd US Route Vale Vale Vis View View View View View View View View	Turn	Turn
Uns Unions Upass Underpass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Twrs	Towers
Upass Federal Highway US Rte US Route Vale Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vly Valley Vly Valley Vly Valley Vws View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Un	Union
US Hwy Highway US Rte US Route Vale Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Will Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Uns	Unions
US Hwy Highway US Rte US Route Vale Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Upass	Underpass
US Rte Vale Vale Vale Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys View Vws Views Valk Wall Wall Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Will Wood Xing Crossroad Viaduct Viaduct Vis Vista Villas Villas Villages Villages Villas Villas Villas View Valleys Valleys Valleys Views	HC Llvar	
Vale Via Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Wels Well Wood Xing Crossroad Vista Village Villages Valleys Valleys Valleys Views V	•	
Via Viaduct Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Vis Vista VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Wds Woods Wels Well Wood Wood Xing Crossroad		
VI Ville Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Vlg Village Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells Wl Wood Xing Crossroad		
Vlgs Villages Vls Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells Wl Wood Xing Crossroad		
VIs Villas Vly Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossroad		
Vlys Valley Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells Wl Well Wood Wood Xing Crossroad		
Vlys Valleys Vw View Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossroad		
Vws Views  Vws Views  Walk Walk  Wall Wall  Way Way  Ways Ways  Wds Woods  Wels Wells  WI Well  Wood Wood  Xing Crossroad		
Vws Views Walk Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Walk Wall Wall Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Wall Way Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Way Way Ways Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Ways Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Wds Woods Wels Wells WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad		
Wels Wells WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Ways	Ways
WI Well Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Wds	Woods
Wood Wood Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	Wels	Wells
Xing Crossing Xrd Crossroad	WI	Well
Xrd Crossroad	Wood	Wood
	Xing	Crossing
Xrds Crossroads	Xrd	Crossroad
	Xrds	Crossroads

# AgreePoint

Domain	Description
Υ	Yes
N	No

# CountyFIPS

Domain	Description	Domain	Description	Domain	Description
1	Adams	63	Frontier	125	Nance
3	Antelope	65	Furnas	127	Nemaha
5	Arthur	67	Gage	129	Nuckolls
7	Banner	69	Garden	131	Otoe
9	Blaine	71	Garfield	133	Pawnee
11	Boone	73	Gosper	135	Perkins
13	Box Butte	75	Grant	137	Phelps
15	Boyd	77	Greeley	139	Pierce
17	Brown	79	Hall	141	Platte
19	Buffalo	81	Hamilton	143	Polk
21	Burt	83	Harlan	145	Red Willow
23	Butler	85	Hayes	147	Richardson
25	Cass	87	Hitchcock	149	Rock
27	Cedar	89	Holt	151	Saline
29	Chase	91	Hooker	153	Sarpy
31	Cherry	93	Howard	155	Saunders
33	Cheyenne	95	Jefferson	157	Scotts Bluff
35	Clay	97	Johnson	159	Seward
37	Colfax	99	Kearney	161	Sheridan
39	Cuming	101	Keith	163	Sherman
41	Custer	103	Keya Paha	165	Sioux
43	Dakota	105	Kimball	167	Stanton
45	Dawes	107	Knox	169	Thayer
47	Dawson	109	Lancaster	171	Thomas
49	Deuel	111	Lincoln	173	Thurston
51	Dixon	113	Logan	175	Valley
53	Dodge	115	Loup	177	Washington
55	Douglas	117	McPherson	179	Wayne
57	Dundy	119	Madison	181	Webster
59	Fillmore	121	Merrick	183	Wheeler
61	Franklin	123	Morrill	185	York

# OneWay

Domain	Description
FT	One way travel from FROM or Start Node to TO or End Node
TF	One way travel from TO or END node to FROM or Start Node
В	Travel in both directions allowed

# **RClass**

Domain	Description
1	Primary
2	Secondary
3	Local
4	Ramp
5	Service
6	Vehicular Trail
7	Walkway
8	Alley
9	Private
10	Parking Lot
11	Trail
12	Other

# SType

Domain	Description
1	Paved
2	Gravel
3	Soil
4	Proposed
5	Minimum