

STATE GOVERNMENT COUNCIL
of the
Nebraska Information Technology Commission
Thursday, August 9, 2012, 1:30 p.m.-2:30 p.m.
Executive Building - Lower Level Conference Room
521 S 14th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska
MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Brenda Decker, Chief Information Officer, Chair
Beverlee Bornemeier, OCIO-Enterprise Computing Services
Josh Daws, Secretary of State's Office
Dennis Burling, Department of Environmental Quality
Keith Dey, Department of Motor Vehicles
Pat Flanagan, Private Sector
Rex Gittins, Department of Natural Resources
Lori Henkenius, Department of Education
Eric Henrichsen, Department of Health and Human Services
Kelly Lammers, Department of Banking
Bill Miller, State Court Administrator's Office
Glenn Morton, Workers' Compensation Court
Bob Shanahan, Department of Correctional Services
Jayne Scofield, OCIO-Network Services
Len Sloup, Department of Revenue

MEMBERS ABSENT: Carlos Castillo, Administrative Services; Dick Clark, Policy Research Office; Mike Calvert, Legislative Fiscal Office; Dorest Harvey, Private Sector; Gerry Oligmueller, Budget Office; Mike Overton, Crime Commission; Terri Slone, Department of Labor; Rod Wagner, Library Commission; Col. David Sankey, Nebraska State; and Patrol Bill Wehling, Department of Roads

ROLL CALL, MEETING NOTICE & OPEN MEETINGS ACT INFORMATION

Ms. Decker called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m. There were 15 voting members present at the time of roll call. A quorum existed to conduct official business. Meeting notice was posted to the [NITC website](#) and the [Nebraska Public Meeting Calendar](#) on July 3, 2012. The agenda was posted to the NITC website on August 6, 2012.

PUBLIC COMMENT. There was no public comment.

APPROVAL OF JUNE 14, 2012 MINUTES*

Mr. Shanahan moved to approve the [June 14, 2012](#) as presented. Mr. Dey seconded. Roll call vote: Burling-Yes, Bornemeier-Yes, Decker-Yes, Sloup-Yes, Flanagan-Yes, Daws-Abstain, Gittins-Yes, Lammers-Yes, Morton-Yes, Dey-Yes, Henkenius-Abstain, Henrichsen-Yes, Scofield-Yes, Shanahan-Yes, and Miller-Yes. Results: Yes-13, No-0, Abstained-2. Motion carried.

MICROSOFT ENTERPRISE ENROLLMENT - OPTIONAL 2-YEAR EXTENSION - [RECOMMENDATION TO CIO*](#)

This item was discussed briefly at the last meeting. The new Nebraska Microsoft representative, Jen Marik, was introduced. As part of the meeting materials for today's meeting, member received a document regarding the Microsoft Enterprise Agreement for FY2014-2015. The document included project costs as well as information on whether to extend the Enterprise Agreement for another two years (June 1, 2013 to May 31, 2015), as well as the benefits of extending the contract and the consequence of not extending the agreement.

Benefits of extending the EA:

A major reason for extending the agreement is that it continues current pricing for all of the products in the Microsoft EA. The benefits of participating in FY14 and FY15 include:

- Continue current pricing for products included in the Microsoft EA;
- Own rights to the latest version of Office or Windows during the renewal period;
- Maintain eligibility to continue participation in future renewal periods without incurring the additional one-time, upfront costs of a license;
- Continue uninterrupted access to all of the benefits of Microsoft's software assurance program;
- Enables budget stability by establishing a level budget for desktop software;
- Maintain all desktops at the same version of Office or Windows, allowing for easier troubleshooting and version compatibility.

Consequences of not extending the EA:

A decision not to renew the Microsoft EA for FY2014 and FY2015 would have the following implications for state government, as a whole:

- Potentially higher prices for email and related licenses that would be reflected in a higher monthly fee for email accounts;
- Higher prices for separate enterprise agreements for agencies that want software assurance;
- Higher one-time costs for future upgrades of Office and Windows;
- Potential loss of investment already made by agencies that have bought into the program;
- Loss of other benefits included in the Microsoft EA.

Members were given an opportunity to ask questions. Members discussed rates; one-time costs; a flat cost versus cyclical funding requests; and the ability to do upgrades based on need rather than timing of the budget cycle. Extending the agreement is a two-step process. If the State Government Council recommends the extension, then the OCIO will be contacting agencies prior to signing the contract to verify individual agency commitments. The OCIO is meeting with Budget Office tomorrow and if the Council recommends the extension, Ms. Decker will share that recommendation.

Mr. Henrichsen moved that the State Government Council recommend that the CIO exercise the optional two-year extension to the Microsoft Enterprise Enrollment. Mr. Miller seconded. Roll call vote: Burling-Yes, Bornemeier-Yes, Decker-Yes, Sloup-Yes, Flanagan-Abstain, Daws-Abstain, Gittins- Abstain, Lammers-Yes, Morton- Abstain, Dey- Abstain, Henkenius-Yes, Henrichsen-Yes, Scofield-Yes, Shanahan-Yes, and Miller-Yes. Results: Yes-10, No-0, Abstained-5. Motion carried.

FY2013-2015 BIENNIAL BUDGET - [IT PROJECT REVIEW TIMELINE](#)

Mr. Becker reviewed the timeline with the members. Some of the Council members may be asked to review projects.

FY2013-15 Biennial Budget Review Timeline

9/15/2012:	IT Project Proposals due
9/17/2012:	Projects posted on website
9/18/2012:	Initial assignment of reviewers by staff and notice sent to Technical Panel members
9/20/2012:	Reviewers receive projects and scoring sheets by email
10/1/2012:	Completed scoring sheets due from reviewers
10/2/2012:	Distribute summary sheets, with reviewer scores and comments, to submitting agencies for comment/response
10/5/2012:	Agency response due (optional)
10/9/2012:	Technical Panel meeting
10/11/2012:	State Government Council Meeting
10/17/2012:	Education Council Meeting
10/29-11/14:	NITC Meeting
11/15/2012:	Report Submitted to the Governor and Legislature

The same tiers will be used as last year:

Category	Description
Mandate	Required by law, regulation, or other authority.
Tier 1	Highly Recommended. Mission critical project for the agency and/or the state.
Tier 2	Recommended. High strategic importance to the agency and/or the state.
Tier 3	Other. Significant strategic importance to the agency and/or the state; but, in general, has an overall lower priority than the Tier 1 and Tier 2 projects.
Tier 4	Insufficient information to proceed with a recommendation for funding.

AGENCY REPORTS AND OTHER BUSINESS

Ms. Decker announced that Brad Weakly has taken a position with the University of Nebraska. Friday is his last day. Until his replacement has been hired, Jim Ohmberger will be acting State Information Security Officer.

Email conversion. The OCIO will soon be moving state government email to Exchange 2010 in the OCIO Cloud. Agencies will be receiving communications from the email team.

ADJOURN

Mr. Dey moved to adjourn. Mr. Miller seconded. All were in favor.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:25 p.m.

Meeting minutes were taken by Lori Lopez Urdiales and reviewed by Rick Becker of the Office of the CIO/NITC.