COMMUNITY COUNCIL March 23, 2009 9:30 AM to 12:00 noon CT

Lincoln-Executive: Lincoln Executive Bldg.-Suite 103, 521 S 14th ST. **Columbus:** Columbus Public Library-Columbus Rm., 2nd Fl., 2504 14th St.

Kearney: Public Library and Info. Center-2nd Fl., 2020 First Avenue Scottsbluff: Panhandle Research & Extension Center -High Plains Rm., 4502 Avenue I Wayne: Wayne State College, Conn Library, Rm. 15, 1111 Main ST Meeting Documents: Click the links in the agenda or click here for all documents

Tentative Agenda

9:30 Roll Call--Co-Chair Notice of Posting of Agenda--Co-Chair Notice of Nebraska Open Meetings Act Posting--Co-Chair Approval of <u>May 22, 2008 minutes</u>*

Public Comment

- 9:35 Stimulus Funding for Broadband—Lt. Governor Rick Sheehy
 - o Summary of Broadband Programs
 - Federal Register Notice: Joint Broadband Technology Opportunities Program Request for Information
- **10:00** Update on Developing Websites for Growth Project—Tim O'Brien

10:30 Membership

- Matthew Williams
- Terry Lowe (suggested)

10:40 Action Plans

12:00 Adjourn

*Action item

Meeting announcement was posted on the NITC Web site on March 9, 2009 and on the Nebraska Public Meeting Calendar on March 17, 2009. The agenda was posted on March 19, 2009.

COMMUNITY COUNCIL May 22nd, 2008 1:30 PM – 3:00 PM CT Video Conference Locations:

Lincoln-Executive: Lincoln Executive Bldg.-Suite 103, 521 S 14th St. **Columbus:** Columbus Public Library-Columbus Rm., 2nd Fl., 2504 14th St. **Kearney:** Public Library and Info. Center-2nd Fl., 2020 First Avenue **Omaha-SOB:** State Office Bldg., Rm. 207 (2nd Fl.) 1313 Farnam St. **Scottsbluff:** Panhandle Research & Extension Center –High Plains Rm., 4502 Ave. 1

Wayne: Wayne State College, Conn Library, Rm. 15, 1111 Main St.

PROPOSED MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT Chris Anderson, City of Central City Rod Armstrong, AlM Institute Jason Barelman, Wayne State College Linda Fettig, Rural Development Commission Norene Fitzgerald, Economic Development Professional Darla Heggem, Twin Cities Development, Scottsbluff-Gering Lynn Manhart, Central City Public Library Joan Modrell, Department of Labor Tim O'Brien, Nebraska Department Economic Development Dan Shundoff, Intellicom Gene Hand, Alt. for Jerry Vap

MEMBERS ABSENT

Mitch Arnold, Preferred Partners, LLC; Scott Bovick, City of Nebraska City; Don Costello, University of Nebraska, Lincoln; Dean Folkers, Department of Education; John Jordison, Great Plains Communication; Angie Ramaekers, Columbus Area Chamber of Commerce; Rivkah Sass, Omaha Public Library; Ted Smith, Norfolk Public Library

Staff and Guests: Anne Byers, Community Information Technology Manager, Steve Henderson, IT Administrator; Ryan McCabe, eHealth Intern

ROLL CALL, NOTICE OF POSTING OF AGENDA, NOTICE OF NEBRASKA OPEN MEETINGS ACT POSTING

The meeting was called to order by Norene Fitagerald.

The meeting announcement was posted on the Nebraska Public Meeting Calendar and NITC Web site on May 14, 2008. The agenda was posted on May

15, 2008. A copy of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act was available with the meeting materials.

Members present at roll: Chris Anderson, Rod Armstrong, Jason Barelman, Linda Fettig, Norene Fitzgerald, Darla Heggem, Lynn Manhart, Joan Modrell, Tim O'Brien, Dan Shundoff, and Gene Hand

Gene Hand moved to approve the <u>February 6th minutes</u> as presented. Mr. Armstrong seconded. Roll call vote: Anderson-Yes, Armstrong-Yes, Barelman-Yes, Fettig-Yes, Fitzgerald-Yes, Heggem-Yes, Manhart-Yes, Modrell-Yes, O'Brien-Yes, Shundoff-Yes, Hand-Yes. Results: Yes-11, No-0. Motion carried.

Joan Modrell moved to approve the <u>April 18th minutes</u> as presented. Mr. O'Brien seconded. Roll call vote: Anderson-Yes, Armstrong-Yes, Barelman-Yes, Fettig-Yes, Fitzgerald-Yes, Heggem-Yes, Manhart-Yes, Modrell-Yes, O'Brien-Yes, Shundoff-Yes, Hand-Yes. Results: Yes-11, No-0. Motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

Advancing Community Council Action Plans and Community Technology Fund

Community Technology Fund Update

Anne Byers informed the Council that \$40,000 is available in the Community Technology Fund that must be expended by June 2009. Proposals must be developed prior to the Technical Panel's June 10 meeting.

Web Site Development for People Attraction Proposal

Tim O'Brien gave an overview of the proposal. He expressed his concern for continual growth and marketing to the community as key components for the project. Mr. O'Brien also mentioned four important steps for the success of the project: creating a universal process, training individuals, selecting of 8-12 communities, and continuing research. A competitive application process will be used for selection of the communities who are ready to embrace the project. Linda Fettig informed the Council of LB#609, which helps communities with the creation or improvements of Web sites. LB#609 has an August funding cycle. Chris Anderson informed the Council of BECA state funds. It provides funds to communities in coalition. The deadline for application of BECA funds would be in August.

Rod Armstrong moved to approve the <u>proposal</u> to the NITC. Gene Hand seconds the motion. Roll call vote: Anderson-Yes, Armstrong-Yes, Barelman-Yes, Fettig-Yes, Fitzgerald-Yes, Heggem-Yes, Manhart-Yes, Modrell-Yes, O'Brien-Yes, Shundoff-Yes, Hand-Yes. Results: Yes-11, No-0. Motion carried.

Community Needs Assessment Update

Tim O'Brien updated the Council on the drafting of questions to use on the Web survey. The questions will be developed in a comprehensive way to address everyone in the community. The goals of the Web survey are to find strengths, weaknesses, and levels of technology in communities. The survey will help by giving us a future idea of how communities are positioning themselves and using technology.

NEW BUSINESS

Tim O'Brien recommended education for economic developers. The education would include public awareness and speakers that can act as a resource for technology. Linda Fettig and the Rural Development Commission have resources and offered to help partner and promote quarterly speakers. Dan Shundoff, Rod Armstrong, Norene Fitzgerald, and Tim O'Brien expressed their willingness to help.

NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURN

The next meeting will be in early Fall. With no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:48 PM.

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Key Broadband Funding Opportunities for the State of Nebraska

Broadband Technology Opportunities Program

[A.II; B.VI]

Section 6001 creates the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (BTOP) which offers competitive grants for broadband deployment efforts.

Federal Agency: National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), Department of Commerce

Amount: \$4.35 billion

Type of Program: Grant

Time Frame: Grants to be awarded by the end of FY 2010.

Requirements:

Grants may be awarded to:

- Acquire equipment, instrumentation, networking capability, hardware and software, digital network technology, and infrastructure for broadband services
- o Construct and deploy broadband infrastructure
- Ensure access to broadband service by community anchor institutions
- Facilitate access to broadband service by low-income, unemployed, aged, or vulnerable population to provide educational and employment opportunities
- Construct and deploy broadband facilities that improve public safety broadband communications services

States may be consulted with respect to identifying unserved and underserved areas, and regarding "the allocation of grant funds within that State for projects in or affecting the State." NTIA will award at least one grant in each state.

Match: A 20% match is required. The match may be waived.

Eligible Entities: States and political subdivisions, nonprofit organizations, and other entities, including broadband service or infrastructure providers.

Broadband Data Improvement Act Funding

[A.II and Broadband Data Improvement Act (47 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.)]

Federal Agency: NTIA, Department of Commerce

Amount: \$350 million

Type of Program: Grant

Time Frame: Not specified

Requirements:

Funding is available to:

- o Create a statewide broadband availability map to identify unserved areas
- o Conduct extensive market research to understand the barriers to broadband adoption
- Create and facilitate local technology planning teams to produce tactical business plans for improved technology use
- Generate collaboration between the public and private sectors to encourage broadband deployment and adoption
- Create programs for improved computer ownership and Internet use in low-adoption areas

Match: A 20% match is required.

Eligible Entities: Not specified

USDA – Rural Utilities Service

[A.I]

Loans, grants, and loan guarantees are available for open access broadband infrastructure projects that serve rural areas primarily.

Federal Agency: USDA

Amount: \$2.5 billion

Type of Program: Loans, Grants, and Loan Guarantees

Time Frame: Not specified. Priority will be given to project that can commence quickly.

Requirements: At least 75% of the area to be served shall be in a rural area without sufficient access to broadband service. Priority will be given to open access projects, to projects providing access to the highest number of unserved rural residents, and to current or former USDA borrowers. Priority will also be given to projects that can commence quickly. Projects funded through this program cannot also be funded through the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program.

Eligible Entities: Not specified

Match: Not specified

Additional Programs through which Nebraska Entities Could Receive Funding

- **BTOP Funding for Public Computer Center Capacity and Grants for Innovative Programs for Adoption of Broadband Service.** [*A.II; B.VI*] The BTOP funding language provides that "not less than \$200,000,000 shall be available for competitive grants for expanding public computer center capacity, including at community colleges and public libraries; not less than \$250,000,000 shall be available for competitive grants for innovative programs to encourage sustainable adoption of broadband service..."
- State Fiscal Stabilization Fund. [A.XIV] The ARRA provides \$53.6 billion to the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund to be administered by the Department of Education. Section 14002(b)(1) states that "[t]he Governor shall use 18.2 percent of the State's allocation under section 14001 for public safety and other government services..."

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Housing Service's (RHS) intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection in support of the program for the Housing Preservation Grant Program.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by May 11, 2009 to be assured of consideration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bonnie Edwards-Jackson, Finance and Loan Analyst, Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Direct Loan Division, USDA Rural Development, Stop 0781, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250–0782, telephone (202) 690–0759 (voice) (this is not a toll free number) or (800) 877–8339 (TDD– Federal Information Relay Service) or via e-mail at,

Bonnie.Edwards@wdc.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: RHS/Housing Preservation Grant Program.

OMB Number: 0575–0115.

Expiration Date of Approval: June 30, 2009.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The primary purpose of the Housing Preservation Grant Program is to repair or rehabilitate individual housing, rental properties, or co-ops owned or occupied by very low- and low-income rural persons. Grantees will provide eligible homeowners, owners of rental properties and owners of co-ops with financial assistance through loans, grants, interest reduction payments or other comparable financial assistance for necessary repairs and rehabilitation of dwellings to bring them up to code or minimum property standards.

Where repair and rehabilitation assistance is not economically feasible or practical the replacement of existing, individual owner occupied housing is available.

These grants were established by Public Law 98–181, the Housing Urban-Rural Recovery Act of 1983, which amended the Housing Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 93–383) by adding section 533, 42 U.S.C. S 2490(m), Housing Preservation Grants (HPG). In addition, the Secretary of Agriculture has authority to prescribe rules and regulations to implement HPG and other programs under 42 U.S.C. S 1480(j).

Section 533(d) is prescriptive about the information applicants are to submit to RHS as part of their application and in the assessments and criteria RHS is to use in selecting grantees. An applicant is to submit a "statement of activity" describing its proposed program, including the specific activities it will undertake, and its schedule. RHS is required in turn to evaluate proposals on a set of prescribed criteria, for which the applicant will also have to provide information, such as: (1) Very low- and low-income persons proposed to be served by the repair and rehabilitation activities; (2) participation by other public and private organizations to leverage funds and lower the cost to the HPG program; (3) the area to be served in terms of population and need: (4) cost data to assure greatest degree of assistance at lowest cost; (5) administrative capacity of the applicant to carry out the program. The information collected will be the minimum required by law and by necessity for RHS to assure that it funds responsible grantees proposing feasible projects in areas of greatest need. Most data are taken from a localized area, although some are derived from census reports of city, county and Federal governments showing population and housing characteristics.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .81 hours per response.

Respondents: A public body or a public or private nonprofit corporation. *Estimated Number of Respondents:*

2,258. Estimated Number of Responses per

Respondent: 6.8.

Éstimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 12,517 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Linda Watts-Thomas, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch at (202 692–0226).

Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of RHS, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of RHS's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to Linda Watts-Thomas, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 4, 2009.

James C. Alsop,

Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service. [FR Doc. E9–5329 Filed 3–11–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–XV–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Telecommunications and Information Administration

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

[Docket No. 090309298-9299-01]

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Broadband Initiatives

AGENCIES: National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce; Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Joint request for information and notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: Section 6001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) requires the National **Telecommunications and Information** Administration (NTIA) to establish the **Broadband Technology Opportunities** Program (BTOP). The Recovery Act further establishes authority for the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) to make grants and loans for the deployment and construction of broadband systems. NTIA and RUS will hold a series of public meetings about the new programs beginning on March 16, 2009. In addition to the information received about the new programs during the public meetings, written comments will be accepted through April 13, 2009. Through this notice, guidance is provided as to the matters to be discussed at these public meetings and

the categories of information with respect to which interested parties may submit comments.

DATES: There will be a series of public meetings in Washington, DC on March 16, 19, 23 and 24, 2009. Field hearings will be held in other locations on March 17 and 18, 2009. These times and the agenda topics are subject to change. Please refer to NTIA's Web site, http:// www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants or the RUS Web site http:// www.rurdev.usda.gov/index.html, for the most up-to-date meeting agenda. Additional meetings may be announced in the future. Comments will be received through April 13, 2009.

Time and Place: The meetings on March 16, 19, 23, and 24, 2009 will begin at 10 a.m. and will take place at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The meetings on March 17 and 18, 2009, will be field hearings. The location and time of the field hearings on March 17 and 18 will be announced on *http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ broadbandgrants* and on *http:// www.rurdev.usda.gov/index.html*. Webcast and/or transcripts of all of the public meetings will be made available on NTIA's Web site.

Times and locations are subject to change. Any changes will be announced on the NTIA Web site *http:// www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants* or the RUS Web site *http://*

www.rurdev.usda.gov/index.html. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: For further

information regarding the meetings, contact Barbara Brown at (202) 482– 4374 or *bbrown@ntia.doc.gov*; Mary Campanola, USDA at (202) 720–8822 or *mary.campanola@usda.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 6001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) requires the National **Telecommunications and Information** Administration (NTIA), in consultation with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), to establish the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (BTOP). The purposes of the BTOP include accelerating broadband deployment in unserved and underserved areas and ensuring that strategic institutions that are likely to create jobs or provide significant public benefits have broadband connections. The Recovery Act also establishes authority for the RUS to make grants and loans for the deployment and construction of broadband systems. The purpose of the additional RUS broadband authority is to improve access to broadband areas without service or that lack sufficient access to

high-speed broadband service to facilitate economic development. In order to facilitate the coordinated development of these programs, NTIA and RUS will host a series of public meetings related to the NTIA's and RUS' broadband Recovery Act activities beginning on March 16, 2009. These meetings are in addition to the Joint Meeting to be held on March 10, 2009 at the Department of Commerce.¹ FCC representatives will participate in the public meetings related to the FCC's mission. The public meetings will be organized around key program themes, including but not limited to the definitions to be adopted, the role of the states in the grants process, the relationship of BTOP to the RUS loan and grant program and other Recovery Act programs, the grant selection criteria, the role of for-profit providers as potential grant recipients, and other topics.

Matters To Be Considered: Information is being sought on the following topics. Aspects of some of these topics will be discussed at the public meetings. Interested parties are invited to attend the meetings and to submit comments for the record on these topics to assist NTIA in establishing and administering BTOP and RUS in implementing its expanded authority. Comments addressing specific agency questions may be used by either agency in formulating its respective programs. Comments will be received through April 13, 2009.

NTIA

1. *The Purposes of the Grant Program:* Section 6001 of the Recovery Act establishes five purposes for the BTOP grant program.²

 $^{\rm 2}$ Section 6001(b) states that the purposes of the program are to—

(1) Provide access to broadband service to consumers residing in unserved areas of the United States;

(2) provide improved access to broadband service to consumers residing in underserved areas of the United States;

(3) provide broadband education, awareness, training, access, equipment, and support to—

(A) Schools, libraries, medical and healthcare providers, community colleges, and other institutions of higher education, and other community support organizations and entities to facilitate greater use of broadband service by or through these organizations;

(B) organizations and agencies that provide outreach, access, equipment, and support services to facilitate greater use of broadband service by lowincome, unemployed, aged, and otherwise vulnerable populations; and

(C) job-creating strategic facilities located within a State-designated economic zone, Economic Development District designated by the Department of Commerce, Renewal Community or a. Should a certain percentage of grant funds be apportioned to each category?
b. Should applicants be encouraged to

address more than one purpose?

c. How should the BTOP leverage or respond to the other broadband-related portions of the Recovery Act, including the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) grants and loans program as well as the portions of the Recovery Act that address smart grids, health information technology, education, and transportation infrastructure?

2. *The Role of the States:* The Recovery Act states that NTIA may consult the States (including the District of Columbia, territories, and possessions) with respect to various aspects of the BTOP.³ The Recovery Act also requires that, to the extent practical, the BTOP award at least one grant to every State.⁴

a. How should the grant program consider State priorities in awarding grants?

b. What is the appropriate role for States in selecting projects for funding?

c. How should NTIA resolve differences among groups or constituencies within a State in establishing priorities for funding?

d. How should NTIA ensure that projects proposed by States are wellexecuted and produce worthwhile and measurable results?

3. *Eligible Grant Recipients:* The Recovery Act establishes entities that are eligible for a grant under the program.⁵ The Recovery Act requires

Empowerment Zone designated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, or Enterprise Community designated by the Department of Agriculture;

(4) improve access to, and use, of broadband service by public safety agencies; and

(5) stimulate the demand for broadband, economic growth, and job creation.

³ Section 6001(c) states that the Assistant Secretary may consult a State, the District of Columbia, or territory or possession of the United States with respect to—

(1) The identification of areas described in subsection (b)(1) or (2) located in that State; and

(2) the allocation of grant funds within that State for projects in or affecting the State.

⁴ Section 6001(h)(1).

 5 Section 6001(e) states that eligible applicants shall—

(1)(A) Be a State or political subdivision thereof, the District of Columbia, a territory or possession of the United States, an Indian tribe (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450(b)) or native Hawaiian organization;

(B) a nonprofit—

- (i) foundation.
- (ii) corporation,
- (iii) institution, or
- (iv) association: or

(C) any other entity, including a broadband service or infrastructure provider, that the Assistant Continued

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Joint}$ Notice of Public Meeting, 38 FR 8914 (Feb. 27, 2009).

NTIA to determine by rule whether it is in the public interest that entities other than those listed in Section 6001(e)(1)(A) and (B) should be eligible for grant awards. What standard should NTIA apply to determine whether it is in the public interest that entities other than those described in Section 6001(e)(1)(A) and (B) should be eligible for grant awards?

4. Establishing Selection Criteria for Grant Awards: The Recovery Act establishes several considerations for awarding grants under the BTOP.⁶ In addition to these considerations, NTIA may consider other priorities in selecting competitive grants.

a. What factors should NTIA consider in establishing selection criteria for grant awards? How can NTIA determine that a Federal funding need exists and that private investment is not displaced? How should the long-term feasibility of the investment be judged?

b. What should the weighting of these criteria be in determining consideration for grant and loan awards?

c. How should the BTOP prioritize proposals that serve underserved or unserved areas? Should the BTOP consider USDA broadband grant awards and loans in establishing these priorities?

d. Should priority be given to proposals that leverage other Recovery Act projects?

e. Should priority be given to proposals that address several purposes, serve several of the populations identified in the Recovery Act, or provide service to different types of areas?

f. What factors should be given priority in determining whether proposals will encourage sustainable adoption of broadband service?

d. will, if approved, not result in unjust enrichment as a result of support for non-recurring costs through another Federal program for service in the area;

(3) consider whether the applicant is a socially and economically disadvantaged small business concern as defined under section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637). g. Should the fact that different technologies can provide different service characteristics, such as speed and use of dedicated or shared links, be considered given the statute's direction that, to the extent practicable, the purposes of the statute should be promoted in a technologically neutral fashion?

h. What role, if any, should retail price play in the grant program?

5. *Grant Mechanics:* The Recovery Act requires all agencies to distribute funds efficiently and fund projects that would not receive investment otherwise.

a. What mechanisms for distributing stimulus funds should be used by NTIA and USDA in addition to traditional grant and loan programs?

b. How would these mechanisms address shortcomings, if any, in traditional grant or loan mechanisms in the context of the Recovery Act?

6. Grants for Expanding Public Computer Center Capacity: The Recovery Act directs that not less than \$200,000,000 of the BTOP shall be awarded for grants that expand public computer center capacity, including at community colleges and public libraries.

a. What selection criteria should be applied to ensure the success of this aspect of the program?

b. What additional institutions other than community colleges and public libraries should be considered as eligible recipients under this program?

7. Grants for Innovative Programs to Encourage Sustainable Adoption of Broadband Service: The Recovery Act directs that not less than \$250,000,000 of the BTOP shall be awarded for grants for innovative programs to encourage sustainable adoption of broadband services.

a. What selection criteria should be applied to ensure the success of this program?

b. What measures should be used to determine whether such innovative programs have succeeded in creating sustainable adoption of broadband services?

8. *Broadband Mapping:* The Recovery Act directs NTIA to establish a comprehensive nationwide inventory map of existing broadband service capability and availability in the United States that depicts the geographic extent to which broadband service capability is deployed and available from a commercial provider or public provider throughout each State.⁷

a. What uses should such a map be capable of serving?

b. What specific information should the broadband map contain, and should the map provide different types of information to different users (*e.g.*, consumers versus governmental entities)?

c. At what level of geographic or other granularity should the broadband map provide information on broadband service?

d. What other factors should NTIA take into consideration in fulfilling the requirements of the Broadband Data Improvement Act, Public Law 110–385 (2008)?

e. Are there State or other mapping programs that provide models for the statewide inventory grants?

f. Specifically what information should states collect as conditions of receiving statewide inventory grants?

g. What technical specifications should be required of State grantees to ensure that statewide inventory maps can be efficiently rolled up into a searchable national broadband database to be made available on NTIA's Web site no later than February 2011?

h. Should other conditions attach to statewide inventory grants?

i. What information, other than statewide inventory information, should populate the comprehensive nationwide map?

j. The Recovery Act and the Broadband Data Improvement Act (BDIA) imposes duties on both NTIA and FCC concerning the collection of broadband data. Given the statutory requirements of the Recovery Act and the BDIA, how should NTIA and FCC best work together to meet these requirements?

9. Financial Contributions by Grant Applicants: The Recovery Act requires that the Federal share of funding for any proposal may not exceed 80 percent of the total grant.⁸ The Recovery Act also requires that applicants demonstrate that their proposals would not have been implemented during the grant period without Federal assistance.⁹ The Recovery Act allows for an increase in the Federal share beyond 80 percent if the applicant petitions NTIA and demonstrates financial need.

a. What factors should an applicant show to establish the "financial need" necessary to receive more than 80 percent of a project's cost in grant funds?

b. What factors should the NTIA apply in deciding that a particular proposal should receive less than an 80 percent Federal share?

Secretary finds by rule to be in the public interest. In establishing such rule, the Assistant Secretary shall to the extent practicable promote the purposes of this section in a technologically neutral manner * * *.

⁶ Section 6001(h) states that NTIA, in awarding grants, shall, to the extent practical—

⁽²⁾ Consider whether an application to deploy infrastructure in an area—

a. Will, if approved, increase the affordability of, and subscribership to, service to the greatest population of users in the area;

b. will, if approved, provide the greatest broadband speed possible to the greatest population of users in the area;

c. will, if approved, enhance service for health care delivery, education, or children to the greatest population of users in the area; and

⁷ Section 6001(l).

⁸ Section 6001(f).

⁹ Section 6001(e)(3).

c. What showing should be necessary to demonstrate that the proposal would not have been implemented without Federal assistance?

10. Timely Completion of Proposals: The Recovery Act states that NTIA shall establish the BTOP as expeditiously as practicable, ensure that all awards are made before the end of fiscal year 2010, and seek assurances from grantees that projects supported by the programs will be substantially completed within two (2) years following an award.¹⁰ The Recovery Act also requires that grant recipients report quarterly on the recipient's use of grant funds and the grant recipient's progress in fulfilling the objectives of the grant proposal.¹¹ The Recovery Act permits NTIA to deobligate awards to grant recipients that demonstrate an insufficient level of performance, or wasteful or fraudulent spending (as defined by NTIA in advance), and award these funds to new or existing applicants.¹²

a. What is the most efficient, effective, and fair way to carry out the requirement that the BTOP be established expeditiously and that awards be made before the end of fiscal year 2010?

b. What elements should be included in the application to ensure the projects can be completed within two (2) years (*e.g.*, timelines, milestones, letters of agreement with partners)?

11. Reporting and Deobligation: The Recovery Act also requires that grant recipients report quarterly on the recipient's use of grant funds and progress in fulfilling the objectives of the grant proposal.¹³ The Recovery Act permits NTIA to de-obligate funds for grant awards that demonstrate an insufficient level of performance, or wasteful or fraudulent spending (as defined by NTIA in advance), and award these funds to new or existing applicants.¹⁴

a. How should NTIA define wasteful or fraudulent spending for purposes of the grant program?

b. How should NTIA determine that performance is at an "insufficient level?"

c. If such spending is detected, what actions should NTIA take to ensure effective use of investments made and remaining funding?

12. Coordination with USDA's Broadband Grant Program: The Recovery Act directs USDA's Rural Development Office to distribute \$2.5 billion dollars in loans, loan guarantees, and grants for broadband deployment. The stated focus of the USDA's program is economic development in rural areas. NTIA has broad authority in its grant program to award grants throughout the United States. Although the two programs have different statutory structures, the programs have many similar purposes, namely the promotion of economic development based on deployment of broadband service and technologies.

a. What specific programmatic elements should both agencies adopt to ensure that grant funds are utilized in the most effective and efficient manner?

b. In cases where proposals encompass both rural and non-rural areas, what programmatic elements should the agencies establish to ensure that worthy projects are funded by one or both programs in the most cost effective manner without unjustly enriching the applicant(s)?

13. Definitions: The Conference Report on the Recovery Act states that NTIA should consult with the FCC on defining the terms "unserved area," "underserved area," and "broadband." ¹⁵ The Recovery Act also requires that NTIA shall, in coordination with the FCC, publish nondiscrimination and network interconnection obligations that shall be contractual conditions of grant awards, including, at a minimum, adherence to the principles contained in the FCC's broadband policy statement (FCC 05–15, adopted August 5, 2005).¹⁶

a. For purposes of the BTOP, how should NTIA, in consultation with the FCC, define the terms "unserved area" and "underserved area?"

b. How should the BTOP define "broadband service?"

(1) Should the BTOP establish threshold transmission speeds for purposes of analyzing whether an area is "unserved" or "underserved" and prioritizing grant awards? Should thresholds be rigid or flexible?

(2) Should the BTOP establish different threshold speeds for different technology platforms?

(3) What should any such threshold speed(s) be, and how should they be measured and evaluated (*e.g.*, advertised speed, average speed, typical speed, maximum speed)?

(4) Should the threshold speeds be symmetrical or asymmetrical?

(5) How should the BTOP consider the impacts of the use of shared facilities by service providers and of network congestion? c. How should the BTOP define the nondiscrimination and network interconnection obligations that will be contractual conditions of grants awarded under Section 6001?

(1) In defining nondiscrimination obligations, what elements of network management techniques to be used by grantees, if any, should be described and permitted as a condition of any grant?

(2) Should the network interconnection obligation be based on existing statutory schemes? If not, what should the interconnection obligation be?

(3) Should there be different nondiscrimination and network interconnection standards for different technology platforms?

(4) Should failure to abide by whatever obligations are established result in de-obligation of fund awards?

(5) In the case of infrastructure paid for in whole or part by grant funds, should the obligations extend beyond the life of the grant and attach for the useable life of the infrastructure?

d. Are there other terms in this section of the Recovery Act, such as "community anchor institutions," that NTIA should define to ensure the success of the grant program? If so, what are those terms and how should those terms be defined, given the stated purposes of the Recovery Act?

e. What role, if any, should retail price play in these definitions?

14. *Measuring the Success of the BTOP:* The Recovery Act permits NTIA to establish additional reporting and information requirements for any recipient of grant program funds.

a. What measurements can be used to determine whether an individual proposal has successfully complied with the statutory obligations and project timelines?

b. Should applicants be required to report on a set of common data elements so that the relative success of individual proposals may be measured? If so, what should those elements be?

15. Please provide comment on any other issues that NTIA should consider in creating BTOP within the confines of the statutory structure established by the Recovery Act.

RUS

The provisions regarding the RUS Recovery Act broadband grant and loan activities are found in Division A, title I under the heading Rural Utilities Service, Distance Learning, Telemedicine and Broadband Program of the Recovery Act.¹⁷

¹⁰ Section 6001(d).

¹¹ Section 6001(i)(1).

¹² Section 6001(i)(4).

¹³ Section 6001(i)(1).

¹⁴ Section 6001(i)(4).

¹⁵ H.R. Rep. No. 111–16, at 776 (2009) (Conf. Rep.).

¹⁶ Section 6001(j).

¹⁷ The text of this authority is as follows: Continued

1. What are the most effective ways RUS could offer broadband funds to ensure that rural residents that lack access to broadband will receive it?

For a number of years, RUS has struggled to find an effective way to use the Agency's current broadband loan program to provide broadband access to rural residents that lack such access. RUS believes that the authority to provide grants as well as loans will give it the tools necessary to achieve that goal. RUS is looking for suggestions as to the best ways to:

a. Bundle loan and grant funding options to ensure such access is provided in the projects funded under the Recovery Act to areas that could not traditionally afford the investment;

b. Promote leveraging of Recovery Act funding with private investment that ensures project viability and future sustainability; and

c. Ensure that Recovery Funding is targeted to unserved areas that stand to benefit the most from this funding opportunity.

2. In what ways can RUS and NTIA best align their Recovery Act broadband activities to make the most efficient and effective use of the Recovery Act broadband funds?

In the Recovery Act, Congress provided funding and authorities to both RUS and the NTIA to expand the development of broadband throughout the country. Taking into account the authorities and limitations provided in the Recovery Act, RUS is looking for suggestions as to how both agencies can conduct their Recovery Act broadband activities so as to foster effective broadband development. For instance:

(a) RUS is charged with ensuring that 75 percent of the area is rural and without sufficient access needed for economic development. How should this definition be reconciled with the NTIA definitions of "unserved" and "underserved?"

(b) How should the agencies structure their eligibility requirements and other programmatic elements to ensure that applicants that desire to seek funding from both agencies (i) do not receive duplicate resources and (ii) are not hampered in their ability to apply for funds from both agencies?

3. How should RUS evaluate whether a particular level of broadband access and service is needed to facilitate economic development?

Seventy-five percent of an area to be funded under the Recovery Act must be in an area that USDA determines lacks sufficient "high speed broadband service to facilitate rural economic development." RUS is seeking suggestions as to the factors it should use to make such determinations.

(a) How should RUS define "rural economic development?" What factors should be considered, in terms of job growth, sustainability, and other economic and socio-economic benefits?

(b) What speeds are needed to facilitate "economic development?" What does "high speed broadband service" mean?

(c) What factors should be considered, when creating economic development incentives, in constructing facilities in areas outside the seventy-five percent area that is rural (*i.e.*, within an area that is less than 25 percent rural)?

4. In further evaluating projects, RUS must consider the priorities listed below. What value should be assigned to those factors in selecting applications? What additional priorities should be considered by RUS?

Priorities have been assigned to projects that will: (1) Give end-users a choice of Internet service providers, (2) serve the highest proportion of rural residents that lack access to broadband service, (3) be projects of current and former RUS borrowers, and (4) be fully funded and ready to start once they receive funding under the Recovery Act.

5. What benchmarks should RUS use to determine the success of its Recovery Act broadband activities?

The Recovery Act gives RUS new tools to expand the availability of broadband in rural America. RUS is seeking suggestions regarding how it can measure the effectiveness of its funding programs under the Recovery Act. Factors to consider include, but are not limited to:

a. Businesses and residences with "first-time" access.

b. Critical facilities provided new and/or improved service:

- i. Educational institutions.
- ii. Healthcare providers.
- iii. Public service/safety.
- c. Businesses created or saved.
- d. Job retention and/or creation.
- e. Decline in unemployment rates.
- f. State, local, community support.
- *Status:* Interested parties are invited

to attend the public meetings and to submit written comments. Written comments that exceed five pages should include a one-page executive summary. Submissions containing ten (10) or more pages of text must include a table of contents and an executive summary. NTIA will coordinate the reception of written comments for both RUS and NTIA programs. Interested parties are permitted to file comments electronically via e-mail to BTOP@ntia.doc.gov. Parties are strongly encouraged to make electronic submissions of documents containing ten (10) or more pages. Comments provided via e-mail may be submitted in one or more of the formats specified below. Comments may be filed with NTIA through April 13, 2009.

Paper comments should be sent to: Broadband Technology Opportunities Program, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4812, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. Please note that all material sent via the U. S. Postal Service (including "Overnight" or "Express Mail") is subject to delivery delays of up to two weeks due to mail security procedures. All written comments received will be posted on the NTIA Web site at *http:// www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants.*

Paper submissions should also include a CD or DVD in HTML, ASCII, Word or WordPerfect format (please specify version). CDs or DVDs should be labeled with the name and organizational affiliation of the filer, and

DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE, AND BROADBAND PROGRAM For an additional amount for the cost of broadband loans and loan guarantees, as authorized by the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.) and for grants (including for technical assistance), \$2,500,000,000: Provided, That the cost of direct and guaranteed loans shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That, notwithstanding title VI of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, this amount is available for grants, loans and loan guarantees for broadband infrastructure in any area of the United States: Provided further, That at least 75 percent of the area to be served by a project receiving funds from such grants, loans or loan guarantees shall be in a rural area without sufficient access to high speed broadband service to facilitate rural economic development, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to project applications for broadband systems that will deliver end users a choice of more than one service provider: Provided further, That priority for awarding funds made available under this paragraph shall be given to projects that provide service to the highest proportion of rural residents that do not have access to broadband service: Provided further. That priority shall be given for project applications from borrowers or former borrowers under title II of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 and for project applications that include such borrowers or former borrowers: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to project applications that demonstrate that, if the application is approved, all project elements will be fully funded: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to project applications for activities that can be completed if the requested funds are provided: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to activities that can commence promptly following approval: Provided further, That no area of a project funded with amounts made available under this paragraph may receive funding to provide broadband service under the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program: Provided further, That the Secretary shall submit a report on planned spending and actual obligations describing the use of these funds not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and quarterly thereafter until all funds are obligated, to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

the name of the word processing program used to create the document.

Because of space limitation, attendance at the meeting will be determined on a first-come, first-served basis. The meeting will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Individuals requiring special services, such as sign language interpretation or other ancillary aids, are asked to indicate this to Barbara Brown, *bbrown@ntia.doc.gov* at least two (2) days prior to the meeting. Members of the public will have an opportunity to provide comment at the meetings, time permitting.

Dated: Monday, March 9, 2009.

Bernadette McGuire-Rivera,

Associate Administrator, Office of Telecommunications and Information Applications.

David P. Grahn,

Associate General Counsel, Rural Development. [FR Doc. E9–5411 Filed 3–9–09; 4:15 pm]

[FR DOC. E9-5411 Flied 3-9-09; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

Associated Electric Cooperative Incorporated: Notice of Availability of an Environmental Assessment

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of an Environmental Assessment for public review.

SUMMARY: The Rural Utilities Service, an Agency delivering the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development Utilities Programs, hereinafter referred to as Rural Development and/or Agency, has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) to meet its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and 7 CFR 1794 related to possible financial assistance to Associated Electric Cooperative Incorporated (AECI) for the construction of a new 540-megawatt (MW) gas-fired combustion combinedcycle generation unit at the existing Chouteau Power Plant in Mayes County, Oklahoma. The proposed new unit is needed to provide additional electric generating capacity that would allow AECI to meet its projected electrical peaking demand in 2011-2016. AECI is also proposing to construct a new substation approximately two miles east of the existing plant, a 161-kilovolt (kV) transmission line from the existing plant to the new substation, and a single circuit 345-kV line from the new substation to the nearby Grand River

Dam Authority (GRDA) Coal-Fired Power Plant I, Mayes County, Oklahoma. The proposed new transmission facilities are needed to provide an outlet for the additional electric power that would be generated at the Chouteau Power Plant as a result of the installation of the proposed new combustion turbine (CT). AECI is requesting financial assistance from the Agency for the proposed action.

DATES: Written comments on this Notice must be received on or before April 13, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain copies of the EA or for further information, contact: Stephanie Strength, Environmental Protection Specialist, USDA, Rural Development, Utilities Programs, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 2244, Stop 1571, Washington, DC 20250–1571, or e-mail stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov.

A copy of the EA may be viewed online at the Agency's Web site: http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ ea.htm and at AECI's headquarters office located at 211 South Golden, Springfield, Missouri 65801–4775 and at the: Pryor Public Library, 505 E Graham, Pryor, OK 74361, (918) 825– 0777. Comments may be submitted to Ms. Strength at the address provided in this Notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. proposes to construct a new 540-MW gas-fired combustion combined-cycle generation unit at the existing Chouteau Power Plant in Mayes County, Oklahoma with an in-service date of early 2011. The existing plant includes a 522 MW combined cycle generation unit. The proposed 540 MW generating plant will be connected to a new 161/ 345-kV substation that will serve both the existing and proposed generating facilities. This substation will be located approximately two miles east of the Chouteau Power Plant on 16.7 acres. A single circuit 161-kV transmission line would be constructed from the existing Chouteau Power Plant to the new 345/ 161-kV substation and a single circuit 345-kV line will be constructed from the new substation to the existing Grand River Dam Authority (GRDA) Coal-Fired Power Plant.

The proposed CTs would employ an industrial frame advanced technology CT equipped with dry low-nitrogen oxide combustors. The CT would operate on natural gas as a fuel source. The construction of the proposal is tentatively scheduled to begin in 2009 and the estimated duration of construction would be 2 years. A Notice of Intent to Prepare an EA and Hold a Scoping Meeting was published in the **Federal Register** at 73FR51439, on September 3, 2008, *The Paper* on September 8, 2008, and *The Daily Times* on September 7, 2008. A public meeting was held on September 16, 2008, at the Mid America Expo Center, Mid America Industrial Park in Pryor, Oklahoma 74361. A summary of public comments can be found at the Agency Web site listed in this Notice.

As part of its broad environmental review process, the Agency must take into account the effect of the proposal on historic properties in accordance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulation, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR part 800). Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3), the Agency is using its procedures for public involvement under NEPA to meet its responsibilities to solicit and consider the views of the public during section 106 review. Accordingly, comments submitted in response to scoping will inform Agency decisionmaking in section 106 review. Any party wishing to participate more directly with the Agency as a "consulting party" in section 106 review may submit a written request to do so to the Agency contact provided in this notice.

Alternatives considered by Rural Development and AECI included for the CTs were (a) no action, (b) alternate sources of power, (c) load management, (d) renewable energy sources, (e) nonrenewable energy sources, and (f) alternate sites. The alternatives considered for the transmission facilities were (a) no action and (b) alternate routes. An environmental report that describes the proposal in detail and discusses its anticipated environmental impacts has been prepared by AECI. Rural Development has reviewed and accepted the document as its EA of the proposal. The EA is available for public review at the addresses provided in this Notice.

Questions and comments should be sent to Rural Development at the mailing or e-mail addresses provided in this Notice. Rural Development should receive comments on the EA in writing by April 13, 2009 to ensure that they are considered in its environmental impact determination.

Should Rural Development determine, based on the EA of the proposal, that the impacts of the construction and operation of the proposal would not have a significant environmental impact, it will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact. Public notification of a Finding of No Significant Impact would be published

Matthew R. Williams

BA in English from Trinity College (now Trinity International University) in Deerfield, IL. MLIS (Master of Library and Information Science) from Rosary College (now Dominican University) in River Forest, IL.

19 years in Public Library Administration. 14 years as a Library Director.

1990 - 1995 Assistant Director at Antioch Public Library District, Antioch, IL 1995-2006 Director at Watertown Public Library, Watertown, WI 2006-2009 Director at Kearney Public Library, Kearney, NE



"Creating podcasts is easier than we thought and very effective. Participating in the program has given us the confidence to explore other technologies."

—Muriel Clark, North Platte/Lincoln County Convention and Visitors Bureau

Podcasts promote local services, tourism attractions

Through the Podcasting Across Nebraska program, the City of South Sioux City and South Sioux City Public Schools, the Highway 14 Association, the North Platte/Lincoln County Convention and Visitors Bureau, and Panhandle Public Health District and Panhandle Podcasting Partners received hardware and software as well as training on how to create and produce podcasts.

Participants produced 25 podcast episodes. The podcasts are having a positive effect on the promotional and information dissemination efforts of participating communities.



Participants listen to podcasts at the Highway 14 Association training in Fullerton.

"It's been invaluable in promoting city services and various activities," said Danny Bligh with the City of South Sioux City. "It is huge for us. It is

generating economic development opportunities. Departments give us ideas for podcasts all of the time. We definitely plan to keep producing video podcasts."

Participating in the program has made participants more aware of and more interested in other interactive communication technologies. Participants are also more confident about their ability to learn and use other new technologies.

"It opened our eyes and helped us realize that technology isn't quite so scary," said Muriel Clark from the North Platte/Lincoln County Convention and Visitors Bureau. "Creating podcasts is easier than we thought and very effective. Participating in the program has given us the confidence to explore other technologies."

Project partners include the NITC Community Council, University of Nebraska, Network Nebraska, Department of Economic Development, Division of Tourism, Network Nebraska, Technologies Across Nebraska, Nebraska Lied Main Street program, and Apple Computer. Training was provided by the University of Nebraska Extension educators. Podcasts produced through the project are being hosted by Network Nebraska. Funding was provided through the Nebraska Information Technology Commission Community Technology Fund.

Objective

• To foster community and economic development in Nebraska communities through the effective use of information technology.

Description

Technology is an important economic development tool for communities. Telecommunications infrastructure is often compared to roads and water in its importance to communities. As important as infrastructure is the ability of community leaders to utilize technology effectively to enhance economic development opportunities. The Community Council has been addressing technology-related development in Nebraska's communities since its formation in 1998. As technologies and the needs of communities have changed, programming and areas of emphasis have shifted.

The Community Council recognizes the importance of building partnerships, leveraging resources, and building community capacity in addressing technologyrelated development. In 2001, the NITC Community Council partnered with the University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension and Rural Initiative to form the Technologies Across Nebraska partnership. Technologies Across Nebraska is a partnership of over 40 organizations working to help communities utilize information technology to enhance development opportunities. Technologies Across Nebraska facilitates technology-related development by building partnerships, leveraging resources, and strengthening community capacity. Other partnerships have been forged to address specific projects.

Current efforts will focus on helping communities develop content-rich Web sites in order to better promote the community and to recruit people to the area. The council will also focus on identifying best practices and conducting an assessment of the technology-related needs of communities.

Past projects include the Podcasting Across Nebraska program (2006-2007) and the IT Planning and Mini Grant Program (2002-2005). Through the Podcasting Across Nebraska program, the City of South Sioux City and South Sioux City Public Schools, the Highway 14 Association, the North Platte/Lincoln County Convention and Visitors Bureau, and Panhandle Public Health District and Panhandle Podcasting Partners received hardware and software as well as training on how to create and produce podcasts.

Technologies Across Nebraska worked with 21 communities or regional groups from 2002 to 2005 to develop technology plans. The impact of the program was significant. Two communities received grants totaling over \$400,000 to implement their plans. A new business has started in a third community. Several The Community Council recognizes the importance of building partnerships, leveraging resources, and building community capacity in addressing technologyrelated development.



communities now have broadband services available. Other communities are focusing on the technology needs of small businesses, offering e-commerce and technology training. Two communities developed a video conferencing center available to local businesses and residents. One community created an online mall. Another focused on making the county Web site more accessible and user-friendly.

Technologies Across Nebraska's quarterly newsletter, *TANgents*, reaches over 1,000 individuals with an interest in technology-related development. Articles from *TANgents* have been reprinted by several organizations including *Government Technology* magazine.

Benefits

The potential benefits of information technology to communities, businesses, local government, and residents are numerous:

- Communities can use their Web sites to publicize community events, communicate with former residents and prospective newcomers, and advertise available commercial sites.
- Communities can enhance promotional and informational activities through the use of newer technologies such as social networking or video content delivered through youTube or podcasts.
- Businesses can use information technology to decrease costs, increase sales, and provide better customer service.
- Local governments can use information technology to more efficiently deliver services and provide information to citizens.
- Residents can update their skills through continuing education, search for employment, network with others who share their interests, and share photos or videos with distant family members or Internet users worldwide.

Action Plan

Current Action Items

1. Identify and address technology-related needs in communities.

Action: Conduct a statewide assessment of technology-related needs in communities through a Web-based survey and by using existing sources of information (i.e., the Department of Economic Development's Business Expansion and Retention surveys).

Action: Compile an inventory of existing programs and resources which address technology-related needs in communities.

Action: Develop recommendations and lists of resources for communities to address the needs identified through the needs assessment.

Lead: Nebraska Department of Economic Development & Community Council

Participating Entities: Community Council, Nebraska Department of Economic Development, Nebraska Department of Education, and others

Timeframe: 2008

Funding: Existing funding and staff

Status: New

2. Monitor technology trends that affect Nebraska communities and play a leadership role in presenting these new technologies in a timely manner.

Action: Identify one or two technology-related issues on which to focus.

Action: Develop presentations and resources which highlight best practices and give step by step directions for addressing these issues.

Lead: Community Council

Participating Entities: Community Council and other partners

Timeframe: 2008

Funding: Existing funding and staff

Status: New



3. Help Nebraska communities improve their Web sites.

Action: Work with the Nebraska Department of Economic Development, University of Nebraska Extension, and NPPD to develop a plan to help communities improve their Web sites.

Lead: Nebraska Department of Economic Development

Participating Entities: Community Council, Nebraska Department of Economic Development, University of Nebraska Extension, and NPPD and other public power districts, and educational entities

Timeframe: Beginning in spring, 2008

Funding: Existing funding and staff

Status: New

Completed Action Items (2007)

1. Support community IT development by working with the University of Nebraska and other Technologies Across Nebraska Partners, including the following action steps:

- Continue partnering with Technologies Across Nebraska and the University of Nebraska to promote technology-related development through the quarterly newsletter, *TANgents*.
- Continue to maintain and update the TAN and NITC Web sites, including adding information on podcasting.
- Provide and/or promote training opportunities on effectively using technology to enhance development opportunities and the delivery of services, especially in the area of IT-related economic development.

2. Promote the use of podcasting by communities and regional groups through the Podcasting Across Nebraska program.