

Project #	Agency	Project Title
27-03	Department of Roads	Accident Records System Rewrite

SUMMARY OF REQUEST (Executive Summary from the Proposal)

[Full text of all proposals are posted here: <http://nitc.ne.gov/nitc/documents/fy2009-11/index.html>]

The Highway Safety document imaging/workflow "CUSTOM CODE" (Accident Records System (ARS)) will be totally rewritten to simplify the routes and make the process more efficient. The core off-the-shelf systems including WorkDesk™ and the Imaging and Archive Server software will remain as-is. The project will result in a time savings for employees using the system, resulting in quicker entry of crash data and the availability of data for analysis purposes, and a major reduction in the cost of printers, paper, and toner. We will also be applying for some federal grants that would allow us to recover some of the cost to the State.

This project is one of the goals in our Director's Long Range Transportation Plan. The goal to improve safety includes the need to fully develop an automated crash (accident) reporting system so that law enforcement at all levels and other parties can use this technology when they are ready.

The budget for this project was included in the appropriation in fiscal year 2009 therefore no additional funds are needed. This project will most likely fall into fiscal year 2010 in which case we will need to move any remaining funds from 2009 to 2010.

FUNDING SUMMARY

Contractual services – Account 4419
 Design - \$50,000
 Programming - \$300,000
 Other - \$50,000

PROJECT SCORE

Section	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Mean	Maximum Possible
Goals, Objectives, and Projected Outcomes	11	11	14	12.0	15
Project Justification / Business Case	20	15	16	17.0	25
Technical Impact	12	13	18	14.3	20
Preliminary Plan for Implementation	6	6	9	7.0	10
Risk Assessment	3	5	5	4.3	10
Financial Analysis and Budget	10	12	12	11.3	20
TOTAL				66	100

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Section	Strengths	Weaknesses
Goals, Objectives, and Projected Outcomes	- The agency is planning to rewrite the web piece of this application and "re-use" the work flow (although it is difficult to tell whether the costs include the new version of WorkDesk Software they mention). They do have a strong set of goals and cost avoidance that they are attempting to achieve.	- I don't see this tied to their technology plan. It is unclear what they are proposing, a bid for service, their own staff rewrite, etc. Costs are for contractual services only - no internal staffing costs. Most of the justification is to replace printers without any documentation about the amount of printing this takes.

Section	Strengths	Weaknesses
	- The design for the application already exist, this is an upgrade in software and process.	- The proposal assumes that the current problems can be overcome with newer technology and improved routing.
Project Justification / Business Case	- There is a strong partnership list of additional users. - Software upgrades are needed and the opportunity to introduce web based solution exist.	- The benefits focus on printer replacement without much, if any, emphasis on what will be achieved by analysis, etc. - The justification of reduced printing may not be possible current processes may not be improved to gain desired efficiencies - Other solutions should be researched and evaluated for a project of this size.
Technical Impact	- The Agency is familiar with the software and hardware to be used in this application.	- Not sure if they are planning to do this work with existing staff or outside staff. Budget does not show any break down of costs and narrative doesn't indicate how they plan to accomplish this work. - Source code may not be available creating additional programming. The introduction of web based solutions may break existing processes and require upgrades and changes to the technical environment.
Preliminary Plan for Implementation	- General listing of roles and timelines. - Project team and sponsors are well defined and familiar with the current solution.	- Roles and timelines are not detailed by people who have any experience or specific steps that will be accomplished and by whom. - Timeframes for steps to be taken may not be realistic; the scope could change thus impacting both time and money.
Risk Assessment		- The risks were not clearly identified and the ones that were identified appear unclear. It also appears that there are some legislative barriers to doing this project that may need changes? - The number and types of risks identified do not seem to address the main threats given the potential scope and complexity of this project. - The risks listed are related to not doing the project. What are the risks of doing the project?
Financial Analysis and Budget		- The budget of \$350,000 is for design and programming without any clear indication of exactly how it will be spent and how the numbers were determined. - Based on information in the plan there is no way to know if the budget is adequate. - Seems very expensive. Over two man years at \$75/hr

TECHNICAL PANEL COMMENTS

Technical Panel Checklist				Technical Panel Comment
	Yes	No	Unknown	
1. The project is technically feasible?	✓			
2. The proposed technology is appropriate for the project?	✓			
3. The technical elements can be accomplished within the proposed timeframe and budget?	✓			

NITC COMMENTS

- Tier 2 (Recommended. High strategic importance to the agency and/or the state.)